# Financing Economic Growth with Stability from Islāmic Perspective<sup>1</sup>

Mughees Shaukat Zubair Hasan

Datuk Syed Othman Alhabshi\*

#### Abstract

Evidence has been mounting that the interest-based debt financing regime is under increasing distress. Evidence also suggests that the financial crises, whatever title they carried - exchange rate crisis or banking crisis have been debt related crises in essence. At present, data suggest that the debt-to-GDP ratio of the richest members of the G-20 is expected to reach 120% mark by 2014. There is also evidence that out of securities worth US\$ 200 trillion in the global economy, no less than three-fourth represent interest-based debt. It is difficult to see how this massive debt volume can be validated by the underlying productive capacity of the global economy. This picture becomes more alarming considering the anemic state of global economic growth. There is great uncertainty with regard to interest rates. Although policy-driven interest rates are at near-zero level, there is no assurance that they will not rise as the risk and inflation premia become significant. Hence, a more serious financial crisis may be in the offing and a general collapse of asset prices may occur. This paper argues that the survival of the interest-based debt regime is becoming less tenable, as is the process of financialization that has accompanied the growth of global finance over the last four decades. The above has resulted in an unprecedented increase in economic risks; generating (adverse) nonlinearities in system's behavior. Such a behavior is nothing but a demonstration of the verse, "Allah obliterates ribā ...." of the Qur'ān. As a result, the search is on for a paradigm shift towards a less volatile and more resilient financing regime. The paper proposes risk sharing based Islāmic financing as suitable alternative and also demonstrates empirically

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The study is an expansion of the base papers by Abbas Mirakhor and Mughees Shaukat (2012, 2013) and by Mughees Shaukat, Abbas Mirakhor and Noureddine Krichene (2012, 2013).

<sup>\*</sup> Mughees Shaukat is lecturing in the College of Banking & Financial Studies under CBO, Muscat, Oman and is a PhD Scholar at Islāmic finance at INCEIF, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Prof. Dr. Zubair Hasan is the professor of Islāmic Economics, INCEIF and Prof. Dr. Datuk Syed Othman Alhabshi is the Dean of Academic Affairs, INCEIF, Kuala Lumpur Malaysia.

its better growth and stability characteristics by using advanced dynamic heterogeneous panel techniques.

**Keywords**: Financial fragility, Financialization, Black Swans, Nonlinearity, Islāmic finance, Risk-Sharing, Equity financing, Chicago Plan, Dynamic Heterogeneous Panel Techniques.

**KAUJIE Classification**: G33, I42, Iy3, L4, Q65, Q9

**JEL Classification**: G01, H59, H63, H74, P43

### 1. Introduction

At a time when the global economy is suffering from a crisis of confidence, structural imbalances like large fiscal deficits, huge monetary overhangs, high inflation and unemployment, subdued growth prospects and a growing sense of uncertainty prevailing world over are increasingly visible. Evidence has been mounting that the interest based debt financing regime is under ever increasing distress. It has been shown that crises whatever label they carried—exchange rate crisis or banking crisis—have been debt-related crises (Reinhart and Rogoff, 2009). Empirical research suggests that debt-to-GDP ratio of the richest members of the G-20 will reach 120% mark by 2014 while by 2020 the U.S. and other major European centers would amass a ratio of at least 150%, with Japan and U.K. going to 300% and 200% respectively. Even more disconcerting is the projected interest rate paths on their debts which would increase from 5% to 10% in all cases, and as high as 27% in U.K (BIS, 2010). Moreover, there is also evidence that out of securities worth \$200 trillion in the global economy, no less than three-fourth represent interest based debt (Rogoff, 2011). This picture becomes more alarming when it is realized that the growth of the global economy is anemic at best while the interest rate on debt is sure to exceed the rate of growth of global GDP for the foreseeable future.

Fiscal austerity measures taken as remedial response are further weakening growth and employment prospects, making fiscal adjustment and the repair of financial sector balance sheets all the more challenging. With still rapidly building debt, excessive fiscal deficits, massive unemployment, and falling real-incomes, uncertainty has increased regarding how economies, capital markets, and international trade and finance will evolve. Likely scenarios of hyperinflation or prolonged stagflation cannot be discarded easily. Stock markets and housing markets are going through renewed bubbles fuelled by credit multiplication and

near zero interest rates.<sup>2</sup> Exchange rates are highly unpredictable. In Europe, concerns and uncertainty about the institutional integrity of the eurozone – key to the architecture of modern Europe – continue to mount.

The World Bank in its report on Global Economic Prospects, 2012 suggests that Banking-sector deleveraging is cutting into growth and developing country capital flows, faced with rising funding costs, increased counter-party risk assessments, deteriorating bank-asset-quality, and growing concerns over the adequacy of capitalization. Even if the threat of a full-blown crisis is somehow averted, elevated fiscal deficits and debts and the very loose monetary policies being pursued in the highincome world, propose that for the next several years the external environment for both developed and developing economies is likely to remain characterized by volatile capital flows and unsettled business sentiments. As a result, it is becoming harder to gauge the impact of the constant surge in financial market turmoil on the real sector of the economy, but it is almost certain to be negative. The uncertainty extends to the stability and sustainability of the international economic and financial system, based on interest bearing debt financing. As a result, the search is on for a paradigm shift towards a less volatile and more resilient financing regime<sup>3</sup>. The main objective of the study in hand is to propose that Islāmic finance, based on its chief characteristics of "Risk Sharing" and "no Ribā" provides such an alternative to the present crises-ridden conventional finance.

To achieve the given objective, the first two sections of the study aims at providing an understanding of the underlying causes that make the present system inherently unstable and susceptible to recurring crises. It is to argue that the basic cause that necessarily directs the system towards disorder and chaos via increased uncertainty is its interest-based debt financing mechanism. The result is an intensification of the process of 'financialization': decoupling of the financial and the real sectors where the former takes an independent identity. The risks to global economy has increased so much that the system has become extremely fragile and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The U.S. Federal Reserve has been implementing most unorthodox money policy in the U.S. history of money printing and credit creation at near-zero interest rate under the so-called quantitative easing programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For further evidence on the ongoing search for a more stable economic alternative, see *Richard Heinberg, Transition Networks; The Centre for the Advancement of a Steady State Economy, Positive Money, Breakthrough Capitalism, and the New Economics Foundation*, among many other international organizations, all exploring ways to create more understanding and strategies for necessary and urgent change.

sensitive to 'black swan' events. It will be argued that such outcomes are a clear demonstration of part of the verse 2:276 of the holy Qur'ān. Section three then puts forward the idea of risk sharing based financing, as the Islāmic finance alternative. While analytically advocating the proposed regime, the study empirically demonstrates its better growth and stability characteristics in section four. Section five concludes the study.

To start the discussion, we first briefly explore what 'financial instability' is and consequently connoting interest bearing debt as the chief culprit in generating instability.

### 2. Financial Instability

Of the major policy goals in economics, maintaining overall stability in the system is second to none in importance. Maintaining financial stability is all the more crucial because of the dominant position the sector occupies in modern economies. However, economies across the globe have witnessed periods of financial instability much more than spans of stability especially after the 1970s. The 2007-2008 financial turmoil has added much to the urgency of the issue as explained in section I. Today, dealing with instability has become the top concern for economic policy makers. However, what stability is and when instability replaces it is a ticklish question to answer. Perhaps it is easier to depict the notion of stability by pointing out what is instability?

The central bank of Sweden, Riksbank, has chosen to define financial instability as the inability of the financial system to maintain its basic functions <sup>4</sup> and the lack of strength to resist disruptions that threaten those functions (2012). A good example is a credit crunch, where access to credit shrinks relative to demand, because the banks are, for example, unable to fund their lending. To the Reserve Bank of Australia–RBA (2012), financial instability simply is the lack of ability of a business to meet its debts as they fall due. In support of this explanation, Mirakhor and Krichene (2008) too associate financial instability with notions of default, arrears, or insolvency. The result is a material disruption of the intermediation process with potentially damaging implications for the real economy. In line with the above, Belouafi (2012: 5) suggests that among the indicators of instability in the financial system, the main ones are as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Among the main functions are mediating payments, converting savings into funding, and managing risk.

- The shocks that hit the financial sector have serious consequences in the functioning of the real sector and the financial system as a whole.
- ❖ The financial system is unable to perform its basic roles of allocating resources and risks, mobilization of savings, and facilitating wealth accumulation, development, and growth.
- ❖ The ability of the financial system to limit, contain, and deal with the emergence of imbalances is either absent or constrained. "In a well-functioning and stable financial system, the above could be served in part through self-corrective, market-disciplining mechanisms that create resilience and prevent problems from festering and growing into systemwide risks and economic downturns".

It appears however, that the dynamics of present financial system, with its (inherent) ability to create worrisome economic turbulence, has made the task of overcoming financial instability increasingly difficult, if not impossible. According to Chapra (2007), the arguments of efficiency and stability in favour of the interest based system, as opposed to an interest free system, seems to have been substantially weakened by the crises it constantly experiences. According to one estimate, there have been more than 100 crises over the last four decades (Stiglitz, 2010: 54, see also IMF, 2008). Consequently, the system calls for scrutinizing the reason(s) which cause such (recurring) instability.

## 2.1 Interest-Based Debt as the Underlying Cause

Over the past few decades, a consensus had emerged suggesting that expansion of credit and debt is detrimental to the stability of developed as well as developing economies (Mirakhor and Krichene, 2008). Mirakhor and Bacha (2012) argue that regardless of where these crises originate from, whether developed or developing country, they all seem to have a single root cause, (interest bearing) debt. With high debts, interest payments also increase, thus increasing both the burden and servicing of debt. Evidence surveyed in many studies showed that every economic and financial crisis was preceded by an expansion of credit (e.g. Fisher, 1933). Askari et al. (2012: 3) argue that the financial system is inherently unstable, often shaken by periodic crisis requiring massive bailouts for essentially two reasons: i) it is a debt and interest-based system; and ii) it creates excessive debt and leveraging through credit multiplier (see also Hasan, 2008) while being backed by some form of government guarantee to reduce liquidity crisis and bank failures. Debt- that is the transfer of risk, they argue is at the foundation of financial crisis in the past and will

likely continue to do so in the future, unless a radical change in the financial structure is introduced.

In the modern banking system, a bank can simply create credit exnihilo simply by crediting the account of its customer for the amount of credit. An often sighted cause is the implication of credit and the ability of banks to create an inverted debt pyramid-through credit multiplier a 'house of cards', to such a degree that it becomes vulnerable to even small shocks, such as changes in expectations of entrepreneurs or the collapse of a speculative bubble in a stock or housing market. Theoretically, credit may expand in relation to created deposits according to the reserve requirements ratio. If a bank creates a credit of US\$ 1,000 and if the reserve requirement ratio is five percent, then total money creation is equal to US\$ 20,000 – a multiple of 20<sup>5</sup> (see Askari et al., 2010, 2012). Such credit takes the form of deposits from the borrowers, on which they may issue orders for payments. Since every bank does the same thing, credit expansion can be very fast, and credit may far exceed the real savings in the economy. The excess of credit over savings is called fictitious credit by Henry Thornton (1802). It is called counterfeiting by Allais (1999). Askari et al., (2010) suggest that "It is not the expansion of credit as such that leads to an economic bust but the expansion of credit out of thin air, since it is through un-backed credit that real savings are diverted from productive activities to non-productive activities, which in turn weakens the process of real wealth expansion".

Excess credit creates price inflation and forces savings upon workers and fixed income households when price of staples increase considerably. Among the features relating to the 'interest' is its unique status and sensitivity as a 'price'; making the maintenance of its 'appropriate' level<sup>6</sup> a very difficult, if not impossible task to attain. Stiglitz (1989) explains: "the interest rate is not like a conventional price. It is a promise to pay an amount in the future. Promises are often broken. If they were not, there would be no issue in determining credit worthiness". He further suggests

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The credit ratio has varied from country to country, demonstrating the ability for banks to create multiple loans. Before the recent crisis, the credit to GDP ratio stood at 254 percent for Japan, 223 percent for US, 164 percent in UK, 108 percent in Norway and 128 percent and 122 percent in Thailand and Korea (Askari et al. 2010; Mauldin and Tepper, 2011 and IMF, 2010). The higher the ratio of credit in comparison to the underlying capital and income levels, the higher the probability of default.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A level that makes the economy grow, for quite a lengthy period of time, without booms and busts. It should be noted, here, that the concern is not normal business fluctuations relating to the ups and downs of the real economy, but rather the 'abnormal' episodes that the economies suffer as a result of the financial turbulences (see Belouafi, 2012).

that raising the rate of interest may not increase the expected return to a loan; at higher interest rates one obtains a lower quality set of applicants (the adverse selection effect) and each applicant undertakes greater risks (the adverse incentive effect). "These effects are sufficiently strong that the net return may be lowered as the bank increases the interest rate characterized by credit rationing". Buiter (2009) went further by stating that debt, characterized by fixed financial commitments, can be a poor financing choice in a risky, uncertain world where the private and social costs of default are high.

Similar doubts about the sustainability of a system based on the interest bearing debt financing had been expressed by John Maynard Keynes and later by Maurice Allais (1999), among others. Focusing on the interest rate mechanism, Keynes in as early as 1930s in his book The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money (1936) argued that market capitalism, if left to it-self, would create two major problems. These are (i) poor income and wealth distribution and (ii) the fact that this system is incapable of creating full employment. A major cause of these problems, he asserted, was the interest rate mechanism which constituted "the villain of piece" (see Mirakhor and Krichene, 2009). Keynes' solution was the "euthanasia of rentier" by socializing financial resources through which financial capital would be provided for investment without the intermediation of the rent seeking class of the money lenders. Keynes's claims of poor income and wealth distribution could be further validated by a recent study which showed how high leverage and crises can arise as a result of changes in the income distribution (Kumhof and Ranciere, 2010). The authors empirically showed that the periods 1920-1929 and 1983-2008 both exhibited a large increase in the income share of the rich, a large increase in leverage for the remainder, and an eventual financial and real sector crisis

Much earlier, Karl Marx (1867, 1885 and 1894) had already put forward his understanding of the innate fragility of capitalism. While recognizing that the system may create economic growth, Marx argued that the growth will never be sustainable and the system will collapse on its own; taking back much more than what it gave. Hayek, (1945) contented that it is the price setting of money i.e. the interest rate and its manipulation by the policy makers that is at the root of generating crisis.

Nevertheless, to Schumpeter though, the ensuing instability is an essential part of the creative process of capitalism, by which capitalism develops new products and new institutions. Schumpeter famously termed such instability as 'creative destruction'. Janeway (2012) in his book

'Doing Capitalism in the Innovation Economy' argues that the 'Innovation Economy' is driven by financial speculation and this is part of the Schumpeterian creative process of capitalism. "Occasionally, decisively, the object of speculation is the financial representation of one of those fundamental technological innovations – canals, railroads, electrification, automobiles, airplanes, computers, the internet – the deployment of which at scale transforms the market economy and indeed creates a 'new economy' from the wreckage of the financial bubble that attended its birth" (see also Keen, 2012). While Schumpeter was fond of role of technology in driving capitalism, Minsky (1986, 1992) considered the financial instability to be endogenous to a conventional financial system. Minsky was influenced by Keynes' notion of the fundamental instability of market expectation as well as Schumpeter's notion that capitalism renews itself through competition and innovation-"Creative destruction". While putting forward his 'Financial Instability Hypothesis' (FIH), Minsky (1992), focused upon the tendency for the instability to lead to excessive debt and, ultimately, a Depression. Minsky hence concluded that the destructive instability was endemic to capitalism, as much as the creative instability was, because finance necessarily had to be destabilizing. "Finance's destructive tendencies arise because we let the banks finance Ponzi schemes -- bubbles in real estate and shares -- that add to debt without adding to the capacity of society to finance that debt" (Keen, 2012).

The result is an increasing trend of 'financialization' in the global economy; leading to increased non-linearity and fragility of the financial system.

## 2.2 Financialization of the Global Economy

Scholarship has argued that the ensuing credit expansion has contributed to the financialization of the economy<sup>7</sup>. To Askari et al., (2012: xii), "when risk transfer is combined with high leverage, the growth of interest-based debt contracts and their pure financial derivatives—those with little or no connection to real assets—outpace the growth of the real sector leaving the liabilities in the economy a large multiple of real assets needed to validate them. This phenomenon has been coined as "financial decoupling" or "financialization", whereby finance is no longer anchored to the real sector". Argitis (2010) has termed it as a wave of 'Neoliberalism'; suggesting that policy structure has increased the share of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shaukat et al., 2013; See also Epstein (2002), Askari et al., (2011, 2012), Hasan (2008, 2010a, b, 2011a, b) and Mirakhor (2010, 2011a).

national income and profits going to rentiers, bankers and other groups of financial capitalists. Consequently, it has become more a 'rentier economy' than 'value addition economy' (Bogle, 2012).

A crucial feature of financialization has been an increase in the volume of debt via proliferation of unregulated intermediaries and rapid expansion in trading of derivatives (Askari et al 2012; Hasan 2010b). With very low interest rates, speculators, in search of yield, engineered products to increase monetary returns and play games against each other. According to Bogle (2012: 6), "trading in S&P 500-linked futures totaled more than \$60 trillion in 2011, five times the S&P 500 index total market capitalization of \$12.5 trillion. The credit default swaps alone had a notional value of \$33 trillion. Add to this total a slew of other derivatives, whose notional value as 2012 began totaled a cool \$708 trillion. All this in comparison to \$150 trillion: the aggregate capitalization of the world's stock and bond markets". The loss of J P Morgan of about US\$ 5.8 billion in July 2012 is a gain of hedge funds who bought its structured products.

The above developments indicate the presence of what the noble laureate James Tobin (1984) termed as 'paper economy'. Tobin suggested "we are throwing more and more of our resources into financial activities remote from the production of goods and services, into activities that generate high private rewards disproportionate to their social productivity: a 'paper economy', facilitating speculation which is short-sighted and inefficient" (see also Mirakhor and Bacha, 2013). Bogle (2012: 4-5), in his latest book titled "The Clash of Cultures: Investment v/s Speculation", has described this unprecedented surge in financialization and speculation as Capitalism's 'mission aborted'.

"The general mission of the markets was/is capital formation, involving allocation of investment capital to most promising industries and companies, both existing and upcoming. However, out of \$33 trillion stock trading in financial markets, only 0.8% or \$250 billion of the financial activities fulfill the original mission and the rest 99.2% or \$32.73 trillion, some 130 times the volume of equity capital, aborts it".

Bogle (2012) further argues that the increased financialzation has made the world of investment move from a culture of long term to *short-termism*. There is hence a further blurring of the difference between investment and speculation. Hans Tietmier, the former President of Bundesbank, warned in international fora that "financial decoupling" was increasing the risks in global finance, (Menkoff and Tolksorf, 2001). These warnings were not attended to and consequences followed (Epstein,

2006). According to Turner (2012), both economic history and theory make it close to certain that we could not have achieved the economic transformations of the last 200 years without the development of modern financial systems. It is hence argued that financial deepening has an important role to play in the economic development process. However, the fact that 'financial deepening', is beneficial across some range of increasing financial intensity, does not mean that it is limitlessly good.

The above can be supported by a recent study, (Cecchetti and Kharroubi, 2012) that investigated, 'if finance is really good for growth', regardless of the size and growth of the financial sector? For an answer, the authors came to two important conclusions. First, with finance you can have too much of a good thing. At low levels, an increase in the size of the financial sector accelerates the growth of productivity. But "there comes a point - one that many advanced economies passed long ago - where more banking and more credit are associated with lower growth." Second that when the financial sector accounted for more than 3.5% of total employment, further development of finance tended to damage economic growth<sup>8</sup>. Consequently, the study stressed to a pressing need to reassess the relationship of finance and real growth in modern economic systems. Already, financialization has oriented the economies from a savinginvestment-production-export to a borrowing-debt-consumption-import orientation (Askari et al., 2012). To Rajan (2005), such dynamics have indeed made the world more risky and the system in turn has become highly sensitive to register events that can be termed as the 'black swan' events (Taleb, 2007/2012a).

# 2.4 The Black Swans and the Non-Linear Destructive Debt Dynamics

When risks are higher, more could be the lack of ability to understand, control and mitigate such risks. This is a situation where risks get transformed into their stronger cases of 'uncertainty' and 'ambiguity'. Frank Knight explained that, at times, decisions are made based on available probability distribution of expected events. This is decision

\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The study also contended that finance, literally bids rocket scientists away from the satellite industry"... "The result is that people who might have become scientists, who in another age dreamt of curing cancer or flying to Mars, today dream of becoming hedge fund managers."(See also Sheng (2009) and Caldentey and Vernengo (2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In 'Nonlinear dynamics', the systems that depict non-linearity may be thought of as any collection of parts whose interactions and connections are described by nonlinear rules or equations. That is to say, the equations' variables may be multiplied together, raised to powers, and so on. As a consequence the system's parts are not necessarily linked in a proportional manner as they are...... (see Paulos, 2003: 172-174).

making under risk. Unlike risk however, uncertainty describes a situation where a known probability distribution is not available but it is still possible to make decisions with some subjective estimates of probability of outcomes of actions or decisions (Knight, 1921). In the 1960s this view was modified to cover circumstances under which human cognitive ability and information availability are so constrained that even subjective assessment of outcomes was not possible. Ambiguity arises under such circumstances where the intensity of "ignorance" can create paralysis in the decision making (Ellsberg, 1961; Erbas and Mirakhor, 2007 and Mirakhor and Shaukat, 2012). The result is an ideal recipe for the occurrence of those events which were deemed as highly improbable or never occurring. As a result an important concept has been added to the economic vernacular; termed as 'Black Swan' events. Taleb (2007/2010), the inventor of the term, refers to them as those events which (i) usually lie outside the realm of regular expectations, because nothing in the past can convincingly point to its possibility. As a result, the probability of the occurrence of such events is extremely low (ii) though they have a low probability of occurrence; however, when they do occur, the events carry an extreme impact; (iii) lastly, in spite of its outlier status, human nature makes us concoct explanations for its occurrence after the fact, making it explainable and predictable.

Recently, the global system has experienced events that would have been thought of as low probability events not long ago. These include, *inter alia*, the down grading of U.S from its 'AAA' rating, the looming collapse of the much hailed Euro zone, the effort by Switzerland to convince the world that Swiss franc is not a safe haven, the Brazilian suggestion of bailout of advanced economy by emerging markets, China's contemplation of buying Italy's debt, the LIBOR's rate fixing and Cyprus's provocation of removing deposit guarantees on certain amounts of deposits. The list can go on. However, looming in the back ground of the present uncertainties in the global economy, there is a potential event termed as "the mother of all black swans" the effects of which may be chaotic to global economy: contagion-riddled events of sovereign default.

It can be observed that the occurrence of black swans and non-linearity of the debt dynamics is a pure demonstration of the Qur'ānic concept of "yamḥaq". Allah (swt) tells us about the debt dynamics in sūrah al-Baqarah (2: 276) of the Qur'ān: "yamḥaquAllah-ur ribā wa yurbias Ṣadaqāt" (Allah (swt) annuls, obliterates al-ribā and increases Ṣadaqāt). The part that is most relevant here is the first part of the verse "yamhaquAllah-ur ribā". Reference, for example, to al-Mu'am al-Waset,

Maqāyees al-Lughah and *Mufradāt* al-Qur'ān reveals that "*yamḥaq*" that makes the "*maḥaq*" of *ribā* means to decrease, to destroy completely, to take away the blessings from a thing, to negate or cancel out the positive impact of a thing, or to erase suddenly. It is thus a state of sudden and rapid decline, with the speed of destruction picking up acceleration (Shaukat, 2013).

In order to give evidence of the adverse and non-linear debt dynamics, that is to signify the Qur'ānic 'maḥaq' in full operation in the global economy, the findings of Reinhart and Rogoff (2008, 2009a, b, 2010a, b, 2011, 2012) and Kaminsky and Reinhart, (1999) put forward among the most finely textured, historical, analysis of the financial crisis<sup>10</sup>. The data covers seventy countries across all regions. The range of variables comprises external and domestic debt, trade, GNP, inflation, exchange rates, interest rates, and commodities prices. The coverage spans eight centuries, going back to the date of independence or well into the colonial period for some countries. The authors showed that crises whatever label they carried— exchange rate crisis or banking crisis— have been at root debt crises (Reinhart and Rogoff, 2009).

The authors refers to the non-linearity of such consequences as the "Deadly Ds": Sharp economic 'downturns' follow banking crises; with government revenues dragged down, fiscal 'deficits' worsen; deficits lead to more 'debt'; as debt piles up, rating 'downgrades' follow and 'defaults' ensue; the result of a vicious 'debt circle' (see also Mauldin and Tepper, 2011). The studies revealed that three years after a financial crisis, central government debt increases, on average, by about 86 percent; implying that the fiscal burden of banking crisis extends far beyond the common cost of the bailouts. Moreover, real housing price declines average 35 percent, stretching out over six years. Equity price collapses on average 56 percent with the downturn spanning around 4 years.

<sup>1 /</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The authors introduced a comprehensive new historical database for studying banking crises, inflation, currency crashes and debasements—unsurprisingly, currency and inflation crisis go hand in hand. Default through inflation has been more prevalent since World War I, as fiat money became the norm and links to gold eroded. Median inflation rates before World War I were well below those of the more recent period: 0.5 percent for 1500–1799 and 0.7 percent for 1800–1913 versus about 5 percent for 1914–2009 (see Reinhart and Rogoff, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For a detailed discussion – based on historical evidence – on how a debt defaults translates into increasing country risk and eventually rating downgrades see Reinhart et al., (2003).

Similarly profound are the unemployment rates which rose to an average of 7 percent, lasting over four years. In some cases these unemployment levels reach as high as ranging from 20 percent to over 50 percent including youth unemployment. Such scenarios are presently pervasive in a number of European centers<sup>12</sup>, for example, the case of PIIGS nations (Table 2.1). Real GDP per capita falls (from peak to trough) an average of over 9 percent or more, the duration of the downturn averages at least two-three years and production levels decline exponentially.

Country	Youth Unemployment (Jan 2012)	Overall Unemployment (Jan 2012)
Greece	55%	26%
Spain	50%	26.5%
Italy	32%	9%
Portugal	35%	15%
Ireland	32%	15%

Table 2.1 Source: Author's own

In another study, Reinhart et al., (2012) demonstrate the large adverse impact of debt overhang on economic growth. A study of 26 episodes of past overhangs revealed that: (i) the duration of an average overhang episode is 23 years; (ii) during the overhang period, growth declines by 1 percent over the period; and (iii) the loss of growth and the long duration of the overhang imply "that cumulative shortfall in output from debt overhang is potentially massive<sup>13</sup>" (see also Mirakhor et al, 2012). Moreover, Arcand et al., (2012) while using different empirical approaches also showed that there could indeed be "too much" finance. In particular, similar to Reinhart and Rogoff (2011), their results suggested that finance starts having a negative effect on output growth when credit to the private sector reaches on average 60% -100% of GDP. However, Reinhart et al., (2003) while introducing the concept of 'debt intolerance'—

Eurozone as a whole has unemployment rate of 12% (World Economic Report, 2013).
 Russia's default following the revolution holds the record, lasting 69 years. Greece's

default in 1826 shut it out from international capital markets for 53 consecutive years, while Honduras's 1873 default had a comparable duration (see Reinhart and Rogoff, 2011).

which manifests itself in the extreme duress many economies experience at seemingly manageable debt—argue that "safe" external debt-to-GDP thresholds for debt intolerant countries could be as low as 15 percent.

However, as stated earlier, the present debt-to-GDP ratio of the richest members of the G-20 threatens to touch the mark of 120% -300% with interest rates on debts projected to be as high as 27% (BIS, 2010). The picture becomes more alarming when the ensuing disequilibrium is realized. While the global GDP is growing at 3%, the debt is increasing at 7%. It would take 24 years for the global GDP to double itself while a mere 10 years for the global debt to get twice as large. It is difficult to imagine how this massive debt volume can be validated by the underlying productive capacity of the global economy.

Thus far the search for ways and means of reducing the instability of the interest-based debt system has focused only on improving regulatory/supervisory structure and few reforms of financial system. Much less effort has been devoted to finding an alternative paradigm. Question arises as to whether there is such an alternative to the present dominant global finance system. Perhaps a more practical alternative would be to step back from targeting the interest rate mechanism and focus on the incentive structure that has rendered the interest rate based debt financing such a destabilizing force in the global system. This can be accomplished by re-orienting the system from relying on risk transfer and risk shifting to risk sharing- the essence of Islāmic finance.

# 3. Islāmic Finance is Risk Sharing<sup>14</sup> and a Rule-Based System

Driven by the Qur'ān and the Sunnah, the Islāmic economic system is risk sharing and a rules-based system. There is a network of prescribed rules that govern the socio-economic-political life of the society. Compliance with these rules renders the society a union for mutual support by requiring humans to share the risks of life (Mirakhor, 2011c). The adoption of these set of rules is expected to lead to a dynamic and growing economy, without which the higher objectives of Islām cannot be achieved (Khan and Mirakhor, 1994). The objective of Islāmic finance is to promote sustained growth and full employment thus contributing positively to poverty alleviation and, ultimately, to economic and social justice. The epistemological root of risk sharing, as the organizing principle of the Islāmic financial system, is discernible from the Verse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> For a more detailed vision of the Islāmic alternative financial system, see Askari et. al (2012).

2:275 of the Qur'an. This verse, in part, decrees that all economic and financial transactions have to be conducted via contracts of exchange (albai') and not through interest-based debt contracts (al-ribā). It can be argued that risk sharing – the crux of Islāmic finance – serves as one of the most important desideratum of Islām i.e. the unity of mankind. Since in the Verse the contract of exchange appears first and the prohibition of *ribā* thereafter, it can be argued that requiring contracts to be based on exchange constitutes a necessary condition and "no-ribā" the sufficient condition of existence of an Islāmic financial system. Together, these conditions constitute the organizing principle of that system (Mirakhor and Shaukat, 2012, 2013). The necessary condition (al-bai') and sufficient condition (no ribā) must be met for a contract to be considered Islāmic (Mirakhor, 2011b). "A careful consideration of all the permissible contract modes that have reached us reveals that they should basically be risk sharing contracts. The instruments designed to financially empower them must also be risk sharing instruments" (Mirakhor, 2010, 2011a, b).

Classical Arabic Lexicons of the Qur'ān define contracts of exchange as contracts involving exchange of property rights claims in which there are expectations of gains and probability of losses (Mirakhor, 2010; 2011a, b). There are no predetermined fixed ex-ante returns (Shaukat and Mirakhor, 2012, 2013). By entering into contracts of exchange, parties improve their welfare by exchanging the risks of economic undertakings, thus allowing division of labour and specialization. The exchange of one set of property rights claim for another, as the necessary and "no-ribā" as the sufficient condition has important implications. Exchange requires the freedom to contract for the parties involved and this implies freedom to produce, which then calls for well-protected property rights to allow and facilitate production. For exchange to take place, there is a need for markets and then for rules that govern behaviour of market participants.

Over the past three decades, an important field of enquiry, namely the 'New Institutional Economics' (NIE), has made significant contribution to understanding how economic system functions. Most importantly, the NIE has focused on reasons why some economies perform strongly while others lag behind with substantial margins. The reasons, the NIE explains is the "institutional scaffolding" of the economy. NIE defines institutions as rules and norms governing economic behaviour in a society.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See also, for example, Al-Taḥquiq Fi Kalamāt Al-Qur'ān Al-Karim; Lisan Al-Arab; Mufradāt Alfāz Al Qur'ān, Arabic Lexicon, among others. These sources define *al-bai'* as "mubadalāti al-mālī bi al-māl." In English this can be rendered as "the exchange of one set of property rights claim for another."

Accordingly, how well an economy performs depends crucially on the rules governing economic behaviour. Principal among these are: rule of law, well defined property rights, and a high degree of trust, efficient contract enforcement, and good governance. The Qur'ān makes clear that the compliance with the prescribed rules is the guarantor of: better socio economic justice and cohesion, unity and order in any human collectivity and economic growth and stability (see for example verses 5:2, 3:103, 8:46). The promise made in the verse 96 of chapter 7 of Holy Qur'ān can also be seen in verses 65:2-3; 5:65-66, 12:90, 5:66, 8:53, 10:9, 2:25, 16:97, 24:55 and 40:40). Conversely all prohibited behaviours are those that ultimately lead to social injustice and disintegration <sup>16</sup>.

### 3.1 Islāmic Finance and Equity Financing

Considering the aspects of an Islāmic financial system based on risk sharing, where there is no room for any return that is determined ex-ante to the contractual outcomes, independent of any profit or loss, the system becomes one that is based on 'no risk free assets', where all the financial assets are contingent claims (Mirakhor and Krichene, 2009). It can be stated that shares or equity issues of corporations appear to best fit the criteria. In a typical risk sharing arrangement such as equity finance, parties share the risk as well as the rewards of a contract. Assets are invested in remunerative trade and production activities. The return to assets is not known at the moment assets are invested, and is therefore a random variable making equities risky. In equity investment, the income is random and depends on the performance of the equity investment. To Mirakhor and Krichene (2008), unlike a debt contract, shares / common stocks of open corporations are not redeemable and the payoffs are contingent upon a certain state of occurrence; Akin to Arrow-Debreu securities. They are "proportionate claims on the pay offs of all future states" (Fama and Jense, 1983). "The notion of Arrow-Debreu securities is built on Adam Smith's idea of a decentralized market economy, supporting optimal risk sharing" Mirkahor (2010, 2011a, b). Thus, contingent payoff, non-redeemability, and risk sharing are characteristics that distinguish a sharing contract from a debt contract.

Risk sharing via equity financing is not novel to economic endeavours<sup>17</sup>. Historical accounts suggest that equity financing has been a

<sup>16</sup> For a detailed discussion on the Qur'ānic rules for an Economy see, for example, Shaukat et al. (2014/2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> For a detailed Historical account of risk sharing based financing, see Askari et al., (2012) "*Risk sharing in Finance: The Islāmic finance alternative*". John Wiley & sons.

centuries old phenomenon in the Muslim world as well as in Europe of the Middle Ages. Enterprises were established with share ownership and were recorded as share owned or anonymous enterprise. Among the most used instruments were the 'mudārabah' and 'mushārakah' partnership contracts. Borrowed from the Muslims and later came to be known as 'Commenda' and 'Maona', such financing modes were commonly used for financing long-term trade and investment in Western Europe (Brouwer 2005; Udovitch, 1967 and 1970). Further historical research submits that Commenda's contribution to industrial development of Ruhr Valley in Germany and in building railroads in Europe were particularly pronounced" (Mirkahor, 2010: 13). Mirakhor (2003), while citing the Goitein' (1954, 1955, 1962, 1964, 1967) examination of Geniza records suggest that (i) trade in Middle Ages was both extensive and intensive, financed by risk sharing partnerships; (ii) partnerships were used in industrial, commercial and public administrative projects; (iii) trade was largely not based on cash benefits or legal guarantees, but on the human qualities, mutual trust and friendship. Given the recent times, venture capital firms in the Silicon Valley of the U.S are reaping enormous benefits from risk sharing/equity based financing<sup>18</sup>.

It is often argued from those who favour debt financing that the unprecedent development particularly in the last fifty years is essentially an outcome of the capitalistic system, based on interest bearing debt financing. "To them the reduction, let alone elimination, of debt financing and bank money creation would reduce economic growth" (cf: Askari et al., 2012). The latter is an empirical issue that needs careful estimation alongside considering the social cost and benefits under such a regime. However, while not denying the development and overlooking the aspect of sustainable development, question arises as to how much of the ensuing development has only been through debt financing. It can be safely argued that most of the advances that seemed to have changed the dynamics of the world—particularly in the technological arena—have been through risk sharing modes than debt financing (see Shaukat, 2013 and Taleb,

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> According to Cybercities (2008), in 2008, Silicon Valley was the third largest hightech centre (cyber-city) in the United States, behind the New York metropolitan area and Washington metropolitan area, with 225,300 high-tech jobs. The Bay Area as a whole however, of which Silicon Valley is a part, ranked first with 387,000 high-tech jobs. Silicon Valley has the highest concentration of high-tech workers of any metropolitan area, with 285.9 out of every 1,000 private-sector workers. Silicon Valley has the highest average high-tech salary at \$144,800. [Cybercities 2008: An Overview of the High-Technology Industry in the Nation's Top 60 Cities].

2012). To Askari et al., (2012), "much of the assumed contributions of finance over the last 30 or so years, and thus debt financing and leverage, have only been a mirage", given also the pro tax and legal support. Further, Allah has ordained the believers, not to get discouraged by the apparent well-being of the non-believers (Quran; 43:33-35).

While highlighting the growth benefits of a system predominantly based on equity financing, Toutouchian (2002) asserts that the world could have indeed seen much more growth and development had it resorted more on equity financing. Einaudi (2006) and Turner (2012) suggest "A modern market economy needs financial contracts. In theory these could all take equity form, and if they did economies would suffer less macroeconomic instability". Taleb (2012) argues that for a financial system to avoid fragility and the occurrence of black swans, the system needs to get rid of debt financing and resort to equity financing instead. With equity financing, all stake holders will have more skin in the game. This would necessarily constrain and even diminish moral hazards and agency problems; aspects always pervasive in a debt based system (see also Hellwig, 1998). Mirakhor and Krichene, (2009) argue that "equity based finance is stable as assets and liabilities adjust to shocks; making the system immune to banking crisis and disruptions in payments mechanism".

## 3.2 Islāmic Finance a Two Tired System

Based on the above discussions, an Islāmic financial system can be envisioned as a two-tier financial system<sup>19</sup>:

- ❖ A 100 percent reserve depository and safekeeping banking system for domestic and international payments.
- Equity based risk-sharing investment banking that places real saving directly in private or public projects or indirectly via the stock market. Investors are shareholders.

The first sub-system keeps money deposits in trust and settles payments via clearing, withdrawals and other forms of payments. The second part of the system receives savings, which it invests in productive projects or in more liquid investment such as mutual funds or stocks. Depositors receive transferable or marketable shares that enable them to liquidate their investment if they chose to do so. Returns from the funds

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Notwithstanding the fact that interest-free lending, called 'al-qarḍ al-ḥasan', is permitted; for a detailed discussion and demonstration of how the system would operate, see Askari et al., (2010).

invested are ex-post and are distributed to the depositors as to the shareholders of equity capital. As a result, they share in profits and losses as well as in capital gains and losses. Islāmic capital markets intermediate between saving units and investing units by way of risk sharing through investment banking, stock markets, mutual funds, exchange-traded funds and other forms of intermediary risk-sharing institutions.

A number of influential scholars<sup>20</sup>, in the past, proposed reforms that would abolish the credit system and replace it by an equity-based investment system. For instance, Walker (1873); von Mises (1953); Carrol (1965), Simons (1948); Friedman (1969) and Rothbard, (1994), opposed fictitious credit creation by banks and favoured the creation of joint stock companies which use savings to buy equities. Among the most celebrated proposals along these lines was the plan formulated in the University of Chicago, 'Chicago Memorandum' or commonly called 'Chicago Plan' in 1933 which called for 100% reserve money and for an equity-based investment system. Irving Fisher (1936) claimed the following advantages for this plan: (i) Much better control of a major source of business cycle fluctuations, sudden increases and contractions of bank credit and of the supply of bank-created money (ii) Complete elimination of bank runs. (iii) Dramatic reduction of the (net) public debt (iv) Dramatic reduction of private debt, as money creation no longer requires simultaneous debt creation. A recent IMF paper titled "the Chicago Plan Revisited", studied the claims made by Fisher and others in favour of the 'Chicago Plan'. By embedding a comprehensive and carefully calibrated model of the banking system in a DSGE model of the U.S. economy, they found robust support for all of claims made in support of the proposed plan (Benes and Kumhof, 2012). Kotlikoff (2010) also made a proposal on similar lines suggesting "Limited Purpose Banking (LPB)". LBP would essentially transform all financial intermediaries with limited liability into mutual fund companies, with a single regulatory agency the "Federal Financial Authority" taking care of the regulatory and supervisory roles. LBP would maintain a close link between the real and the financial sector where the former will drive the later.

Askari et al. (2012: 11) in their book titled "Risk Sharing in Finance" suggest that:

One way to ensure the stability of the financial system is to eliminate the type of asset-liability risk that threatens the solvency of all the financial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See also Haque and Mirakhor, (1987); Khan and Mirakhor, (1989, 1994); Mirakhor et al., (2012, 2013); Mirakhor and Krichene, (2013) among others.

institutions, including commercial banks. This requires commercial banks to restrict their activities to two: (i) cash safe keeping; and (ii) investing clients' money as in mutual fund. Banks would accept deposits for safe keeping only (as, for example, in a system with a 100 percent reserve requirement) and charge a fee for providing this service and for check writing privileges. In their intermediation capacity, banks would identify and analyze investment opportunities and offer then to clients; they would charge a fee for this service much like a traditional investment bank. In this way the bank would not be assuming any asset-liability exposure, just a potential loss of some (but not all) of its capital, which would not endanger the bank's solvency. In other words, in such a financial system, there would be no debt financing by institutions, only equity financing; and there would be no risk transfer or risk shifting, only risk sharing.

A pivotal feature of the above dynamics is that the Islāmic financial system is protected from un-backed credit expansion since banks would not contract interest bearing loans and also not create and destroy money<sup>21</sup>. It is thus assumed that in an Islāmic bank there will be a maturity match between deposits and investment (with no need for asset and liability management). "Short-term deposits may finance short-term trade operations, with bank purchasing merchandise or raw materials and selling to others companies; liquidity is replenished as proceeds from sales operation are generated. For longer-term investment, longer-term deposits are used" (Askari et al., 2010). There is hence greater interdependence and close relationship between investment and deposit yields, since banks primarily accept investments on the basis of profit-loss sharing. The funds to the enterprise are also provided on the same basis (Khan and Mirakhor, 1994). An Islāmic bank is a direct owner of the investment process. It identifies investments opportunities based on due diligence process and evaluates them to minimize risks; participates directly in the management, monitoring and execution of the trade and investment operation (see also Kazem, 1999). The funds are further released for the purchase of goods and services as required for the completion of these operations.

The above dynamics would in turn not only translate into a coordinated asset/liability maturity structure, but the real values of assets and liabilities –of financial institution– would be equal at all points in

21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> There is no credit creation out of thin air in Islāmic finance. Under fractional reserve banking, deposits at one bank can be instantaneously loaned out and become reserves and a basis for a new loan at a second bank. The credit multiplier is determined by the reserve requirement and could be high. In case of securitization and over-leverage, the credit multiplier is theoretically infinite, leading to violent asset and product price fluctuations.

time such that the value of both sides of the balance sheet move simultaneously and in the same direction in response to changes in asset prices. In addition to the prospect of instantaneous equilibrium between the asset and liability sides, there would also be Asset/liability risk matching. While the individual financial institutions engaged in investment activities face the given risks, but these are not systemic and do not affect the overall stability of the financial system, as this system is immune to speculative mania, liquidity expansion, and instability of returns. If asset prices decline, so will the liabilities, unlike what happens in a system dominated by interest-based debt contracts<sup>22</sup>. "Due to the fact that the returns to liabilities will be a direct function of the asset portfolios and also assets are created in response to investment opportunities in the real sector, the return to financing is removed from the cost side and relegated to the profit side, thus allowing the rate of return to financing to be determined by productivity of the real sector<sup>23</sup>" (Khan and Mirakhor, 1994). Immediately, the system renders a tight coupling between the financial and the real sectors and the financial sector is found fulfilling its real aim i.e. serving the real sector.

Given the importance of credit in the Western financial and economic model, if credit supply is constrained by increasing its price i.e. increasing interest rates, then a reverse of the above dynamics is achieved. High interest rates lower investments which in turn lower consumption leading to a build-up of inventories and lowering growth in national output. Fallout is an increase in unemployment. If the decline in employment is more pronounced, consumption and investments decline further which further affects the national output. "In case this decline continues for more than two consecutive quarters, then an economic recession is upon us" (Askari et al., 2010). It can be observed how the dynamics of the economy would change for better if it is driven by the rate of return to the real sector. As suggested, there would be one to one mapping of both the real and financial sector where the increase in investments, consumption, employment and hence economic growth would be in direct proportion with the increase in the rate of return to the real sector. An ensuing feedback process further adds impetus to the growth cycle.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> It is also to note that since interest rates are an economy-wide variable and therefore systematic, their risk does not get diversified, as the *idiosyncratic* risks of a stock would. This would also translate into a higher portfolio beta (see Bacha and Mirakhor, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> As discussed earlier such a notion was also backed by Keynes in the absence of interest rate mechanism.

In consideration of the given growth and stability characteristics of risk sharing based financing, recently, a group of elite Sharī'ah scholars as well as economic experts has passed two 'Declarations' (namely the Kuala Lumpur and the Jeddah Declarations) asserting that risk sharing based financing is the only way forward and that financing must move away from debt and rely more and more on equity financing. The declarations further suggested governments (particularly governments of Islāmic countries) to essentially adopt risk sharing modes when devising the monetary and fiscal policies. Similar conclusions were reached in IFFS (2013), asserting the adoption of risk sharing and equity financing and less reliance on interest bearing debt.

#### 4. Economic Growth and Stability: Risk sharing based financing: An Empirical Support

In order to seek empirical support for the hypothesis that risk sharing finance helps better, for growth and stability, than interest-based finance, we use production function, the tool frequently used in order to assess the efficacy of factors claimed as contributing to economic growth. The present study adopts the augmented production function approach as put forward by Cowen and Tabarrok (2011). The basic growth model<sup>24</sup> takes growth in GDP "Y" as a function of capital 'K' and labour 'L', with ideas/technological shifts 'A' as exogenous to the model (see, for example, Solow 1957).

Although the model could explain the differences in economic development based on capital investment and the saving rates, while keeping labour growth constant, nevertheless it failed to fully explain the large magnitudes in the difference of income levels of individual countries. A study by Mankiw et al., (1992) tried to further enhance the explanations for the large differences by introducing the notion of 'human capital' in the production function. The authors suggested that labour's share is not all about payments or return to pure labour, it also represents the payments or return to human capital i.e. the labour which through

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The growth model is also presented in the Cobb-Douglas formation. The basic model in essence remains the same as in the Solow's version i.e. Y= f (K, L). However, the main difference between the two is that for the former's version, there is an addition of 'a' on both 'K' and 'L' suggesting the proportion of each variable's contribution to economic growth (the notion of diminishing return). The given model would then be Y= A  $K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$ . Moreover, assuming the labour as constant in an economy, the Cobb-Douglas model has further evolved to its reduced form of  $y = Ak^{\alpha}$ . Nevertheless, Hicks-neutrality' is the assumption often used by economists to neutralize the effect of 'a' for each variable (see later).

education takes time to produce. Therefore, human capital is ought to be considered a type of capital. Given the assertion, this increases the share of capital in the model and could explain the large differences in incomes. With empirical support, it was emphasized that the saving rates and abundance or lack of capital, which includes the human capital, are at root of explaining the comparative growths. The more capital intensive the economy is, the more the capital accumulation, leading to more savings and hence investments and growth.

As a result, it was argued that the economies with lesser capital stock and human capital can match up to the growth of more developed provided the rate of savings can go up, since the return to capital and human capital is excessive— the notion of convergence hypotheses<sup>25</sup>. In theory the process should start naturally as higher rates of return should attract more savings as well as the flow of global capital, increasing capital stock and economic growth. However, evidence fails to fully support the convergence. For instance, the Soviet Union under Stalin saved a higher percentage of national income than the US. Given the higher savings rate as well as a lower level of capital, the Soviet was expected to have caught up very rapidly. However, it did not. The dynamics are found true on most less developed countries. It appears that, in general, the less developed are struggling to catch up to the developed. Indeed, in many cases, the gap is increasing. Moreover, the flow of global capital, on the contrary, is constantly directed towards wealthier nations even the richer individuals of the poorer regions tend to invest outside. Additionally, there is also a relentless 'brain drain' severely tilted towards the more developed parts.

Given these facts, the growth models fail to properly explain the reasons necessitating the dynamics. However, a crucial contribution by Cowen and Tabarrok (2011), akin to the findings of NIE, essentially held institutional arrangements and the incentive structures in an economy as the most vital in explaining the above. The authors argued that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Simply put, the idea of convergence in economics (also sometimes known as the catchup effect) is the hypothesis that poorer economies' per capita incomes will tend to grow at faster rates than richer economies. As a result, all economies should eventually converge in terms of per capita income. Developing countries have the potential to grow at a faster rate than developed countries because diminishing returns (in particular, to capital) are not as strong as in capital-rich countries. Furthermore, poorer countries can replicate the production methods, technologies, and institutions of developed countries. However, It does not explain why in general nations have failed to converge and even had zero growth for many decades (e.g. in Sub-Saharan Africa).

convergence hypothesis is a 'conditional convergence hypothesis'<sup>26</sup>: conditioned crucially on the most suitable and efficient institutional and the ensuing incentive structure in the economy. As a result, the theoretical perceptions can only be put right provided the right kind of institutional/structural arrangement is assured. This in turn would best determine the way in which the capital and human capital are utilized to the best of economic productivity and growth.

So given the technology as exogenous and available to everyone, it is hence the institutional arrangement and the ensuing incentive structure that lies at the root of explaining comparative growths. The augmented model then stands as below.

$$Y = F(XKL)$$
 (1)

Where 'Y' is the output (measured as annual growth in GDP), 'K' is the physical capital and 'L' represents the human capital portion of capital. However, 'X' the authors referred to as the 'productivity' which solely derives the most efficient combination of the factors of production. This, as argued, essentially depends on the right nexus of the institutional and incentive structure provided in an economy<sup>27</sup>. Thus

$$Output = Productivity \ x \ Factors \ of \ production$$
 (2)

If such is the importance of having the right institutional arrangement for economic growth, the financing mechanism can then be considered as among the most crucial components in the configuration of the required arrangement. As a result, this provides justification for the inclusion of financing arrangement as among the variables explaining economic growth in the production function. In the present economic framework, the financing mechanism is governed by interest bearing debt finance. It has been claimed that (nation's) debt plays a significant role in influencing the productivity of labour and capital, hence the economy. However, as can be inferred from the findings of previous sections, debt does not remain a blessing after it gets accumulated beyond a certain limit. In fact, too much of debt can and has dampened growth by hampering investment and productivity. This apparent paradox is the result of what is called as debt overhang (Krugman, 1992). Basically, it implies that if the accumulated debt of a country exceeds or is expected to surpass its repayment ability,

<sup>27</sup> The more suitable the institutional and incentive structure, the higher the effect of 'X', resulting in a more efficient combination of labour and capital.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Given the fact that the convergence hypotheses cannot explain as to why economies fail to converge, the notion of 'conditional convergence' then identifies the variability in the structural (institutional) characteristics of economies as the key determinant.

expected default will lead to lower domestic and foreign investment with adverse implications for its economic growth.

More specifically, when the debt overhang is such that future increases in output are drained away in the form of higher debt repayments; debt acts like a tax on output. So, larger the debts stock the lower the probability of debt repayment by the borrowing country. The obvious reason is that when greater percentages of any return on investments as well as reserves (foreign currency) are consumed in meeting debt service, creditworthiness erodes causing reduction in economic growth and further access to financial resources. It is further pointed out that when a nation suffers from heavy debt burden, the need to service that debt determines the manner in which labour and capital are exploited in the production process (Hameed *et al.*, 2008).

As a result, debt service can thus be regarded as 'I' in the above function<sup>28</sup>. The model<sup>29</sup> would then suggests economic production as a function of debt burden 'DB', measuring debt service, physical capital 'K' and human capital 'L'.

$$Y = f(DB, K, L) \tag{3}$$

As per the objective of the study, as well as from the evidence presented in earlier sections, it is asserted that unlike interest bearing debt financing, the financing through risk sharing arrangement has all the ingredients to better influence the growth and productivity of an economy. There are no servicing commitments in form of interest payments which serve as cost to the system. The inclusion of risk sharing based financing in the production function could be best proxied by the stock market.

# 4.1.2 Empirical Tests and Findings

Since it is both a temporal and a cross sectional assessment, with the aim of assessing contribution to growth and stability, the study considers the application of the latest *dynamic heterogeneous panel technique* as the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Similar framework has been used by Cunningham (1993); Hook (2004); Kappler (2004); Hameed et al., (2008); Loganathan et al., (2010); Haider and Ali (2012) and Umaru et al., (2013) among others. A range of econometrics techniques have been used in the above studies; from multiple regression analysis to times series based Johensen (VECM) co-integration techniques. All the studies support a significant negative relationship between the country's debt burden and economic growth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> In order to make the production function linear, it is a standard assumption (also used in the given studies) that any technical change is Hicks-neutral i.e. "a change which with given factors proportions, raised the marginal product of labour in the same proportion as the marginal product of capital" (Kennedy and Thriwall, 1972: 20).

most appropriate<sup>30</sup>. The present study apparently is at the forefront to apply such techniques in assessing the impact of debt burden as well as risk sharing financing via stock market on the economic growth of the selected countries. The results are expected to not only yield the long-term relationship between intended variables *viz-a-viz* economic growth, but we can also derive an error correction term (ECT) that allows us to study the short run dynamics towards long run equilibrium. The stability of the systems could be judged by observing the speed of adjustments of any short run deviations, back to the long run equilibrium.

#### 4.1.3 Data and Sources of Data

Stock market data from a sample of 18 Islāmic countries<sup>31</sup> is used to assess its role in determining a better and a more stable economic growth. The sample includes countries in which the proportion of population professing Muslim faith exceeded 50% and for which stock market data, as well as data for other intended variables, were available<sup>32</sup>. The data is obtained from World Bank, IMF, central bank of each country and Datastream. The data on population and religious fractionalization was sourced from the World Development Report (2012), Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)'s World Fact book (2011). However, data on countries for which the above sources did not record the exact proportion of Muslims in the society was extracted from the Association of Religion Data Archives. The sample comprising of 18 countries is inhabited by nearly a billion people. The yearly data used cover the time span from 1990 to 2010. Using our sample and given the models, the study will first try and assess the impact of debt burden on the overall economic growth. This would lend more tenable support to our claims of better growth and stability in a risk sharing environment.

#### 4.1.4 Panel Models

The basic framework for panel models is:

-

<sup>32</sup> Effort was made to cover all those countries, given in the latest list of "Stock Exchanges of the OIC member states" (see 'Final report of the sixth meeting of the OIC member states' Stock Exchange Forum", 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Our thanks to Prof. Mansur Masih of INCEIF for his positive feedback on the results.

The sample includes: Bahrain, Bangladesh, Egypt, Indonesia, Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Morocco, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Tunisia, Turkey, UAE. The given sample was chosen on the argument that if Islāmic finance, based on risk sharing and no *ribā*, can render the economies more growth oriented and stable, the first best demonstration should be assessed on the group of Islāmic countries. The above group represents more than 70% of growth engine of the whole OIC countries. Effort was made to cover all those countries, given in the latest list of "Stock Evaluations of the OIC member states" (see 'Final propert of the sight meeting of the OIC

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_b + \beta_{it} + e_{it}$$
 Where b = 1 ...18 and t = 1990...2010

The models used for the purpose of the study are as below:

$$LNY_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LNS_{it} + \beta_2 LNN_{it} + \beta_3 LNX^{DB}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 MODEL 1

Where 'LNY', represents the natural log of GDP per Capita on annual basis, 'LNS' is the ratio of GFCF (gross fixed capital formation) to real GDP<sup>33</sup>, 'LNN' is the annual population growth (representing labor) and 'LNX<sup>DB</sup>' is the ratio of total debt burden/service on public and publically guaranteed debt to real GDP. 'E' stands for the error term that satisfies the classical regression assumption. The 'suffix 'it' given with each variable stands for the respective country and the given year. For example,  $LNX^{DB}_{it}$  represents the total public debt burden for country 'i' in year 't'.

As mentioned earlier, since the main objective of the section is to empirically suffice that risk sharing based financing can deliver a more stable and resilient economic order, the above model is then adjusted for introducing stock market as represented by the natural log of the ratio of 'market capitalization to real GDP–LNX<sup>sm</sup>. To counter for the argument that performance of stock markets is influenced by debt financing—which generates speculation—via the use of debt, for example, through direct bank lending, the study introduces variable 'LNDTM'— defined as percentage change in the ratio of bank credit to private sector to market capitalization<sup>34</sup>. The variable assesses any impact of debt/speculation on stock market dynamics. A coefficient of less than 1 or a negative or insignificant relation would suggest minimum influence of debt financing and vice versa.

$$LNY_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LNS_{it} + \beta_2 LNN_{it} + \beta_3 LNX^{sm}_{it} + \beta_4 LNDTM_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \qquad _{\text{MODEL 2}}$$

The empirical tests will be performed in three steps. First, we test for the order of integration in the time series data. Since the time span of the

<sup>33</sup> Given at steady state, savings equals investments, the study uses GFCF as a flow value; it measures the value of acquisitions of new or existing fixed assets by the business sector, governments and households (excluding their unincorporated enterprises) *less* disposals of fixed assets. GFCF is a component of the expenditure on GDP, and shows how much of the new value added in the economy is invested rather than consumed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Assuming that it is only through the channels that debt can influence stock market performance and that all private credit is rendered to stock market. (Thanks to Prof. Dr. Zubair Hasan of INCEIF for introducing the variable 'LNDTM'). Moreover, to check for the presence of any co-linearity between the variables of model 2 i.e. 'LNX<sup>SM</sup>' and 'LNDTM', the study ran the correlation check between them. The correlation was found to be-0.48212.

individual series is relatively short, recently developed panel unit root techniques will be utilized in order to increase the power of such tests. Second, having established the order of integration in the series, we use heterogeneous panel co-integration test for the long run relationships between the variables in question. Since it is a macro (unbalanced) panel data, to assess the long-run dynamics and the speed of adjustments back to long-run equilibrium, 'Pool Mean Group'—PMG (Pesaran and Smith, 1995) and 'Mean Group'—MG (Pesaran, Shin and Smith, 1999) estimators are used for the purpose.

### 4.2 Heterogeneous Panel Unit Root Test

Panel unit root tests are traditionally used to test for the order of integration (stationarity) in the variables of the data set. It has become well-known that the traditional Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF)-type to tests of unit root suffer from the problem of low power in rejecting the null of stationarity of the series, especially for short-spanned data. Recent literature suggests that panel-based unit root tests have higher power than unit root tests based on individual time series. A number of such tests have appeared in the literature. Recent developments in the panel unit root tests include: Levin, Lin and Chu (LLC) (2002), Im, Pesaran and Shin (IPS) (2003), as well as ADF and PP tests proposed by Maddala and Wu (1999) and Choi (2001).

#### 4.2.1 Panel Unit Root Results

The results from the panel unit root test are presented in table 4.1. Given the study is using an unbalanced panel data, the unit root tests that could suffice the purpose best are the ADF and PP as unit root testing. As it can be inferred from table 6.1, the unit-root hypothesis cannot be rejected when the variables are taken in levels. However, when first differences are used, the hypothesis of unit root non-stationary can be rejected safely—mostly at 1% significance level. These results lead us to conclude that our series are characterized as I (1) process. As a result, we can proceed to the next step and implement the test for panel co-integration.

Variables	ADF		PP	
	Level	Diff	Level	Diff
LNY	-3.15	-5.56*	-5.33	-6.64*
$LNX^{DB}$	-2.27	-8.86*	-3.19	-5.33*
LNX <sup>SM</sup>	-2.16	-2.23*	-6.01	-9.14*
LNS	-2.79	-5.39*	-4.12	-6.70*

Table 4.1: Panel Unit Root Test

LNN	-2.77	-3.47*	2.22	-6.33*
LNDTM	-0.017	- 4.39*	-5.00	-7.13*

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at 1% and \*\*, \*\*\* significant at 5% and 10% level

## 4.3 Heterogeneous Panel Co-integration

The study utilizes two types of the heterogeneous panel co-integration test developed by Pedroni (1997, 1999). In addition to using panel and overcoming the problem of relatively small samples, the test permits different individual cross-section effects by allowing for heterogeneity in the intercepts and slopes of the co-integrating equation. Pedroni's method includes a number of different statistics for the test of the null of no co-integration in heterogeneous panels<sup>35</sup>. The first group of tests is termed "within- dimension". It includes the panel-v, panel rho(r), which is similar to the Phillips and Perron (1988) test, panel non-parametric (pp) and panel parametric (adf) statistics. The panel non-parametric statistic and the panel parametric statistic are analogous to the single-equation ADF-test. The other group of tests is called "between dimension". It is comparable to the group mean panel tests of Im et al. (1997). The "between-dimension" tests include three tests: group-rho, group-pp, and group-adf statistics.

The seven of Pedroni's tests are based on the estimated residuals from the following long run model:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{ji} x_{jit} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (4)

Where  $\varepsilon_{it} = \rho_i \, \varepsilon_{i(t-1)} + w_{it}$  are the estimated residuals from the panel regression.

The results in table 4.1 reveal that null hypothesis of absence of cointegration is rejected in both the models.

Table 4.2 Pedroni's Heterogeneous Panel Co-integration Test Results

-	MODEL I	
Test Statistics	Value	
panel v-stat	6.23*	
panel rho-stat	-1.44***	
panel pp-stat	-4.01**	
panel adf-stat	-3.66**	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See Pedroni (2004) for details and mathematical representations of the tests.

group rho-stat	-0.66**
group pp-stat	-2.06*
group adf-stat	-1.35**
*Significant at 1% level and	**, ***Significant at 5% and 10% level MODEL II
Test Statistics	Value
panel v-stat	10.67*
panel rho-stat	-13.62*
panel pp-stat	3.56**
panel adf-stat	-1.49*
group rho-stat	-4.24**
group pp-stat	9.16*
group adf-stat	3.65*

Although Pedroni's co-integration methodology allows us to test the presence of the long run relationships, it could not provide estimation by error correction model. As suggested earlier, to estimate a long-run relationship between the variables in panel framework in presence of co-integration, Pooled Mean Group (PMG) and Mean Group (MG) estimates are applied. STATA 10.0 is used to obtain these estimates.

\*\*Significant at 5% level

## 4.3.1 Pool Mean Group

\*Significant at 1% level and

It allows the intercepts, short-run coefficients and error variances to differ freely across groups, but constrains the long-run coefficients to be similar across the groups. It has advantage to determine the long-run and short-run dynamic relationships. Based on a combination of pooling and averaging of coefficients; if the data allows, it estimates the model as a system. The PMG method—both slope and intercepts are allowed to differ across countries—and the fixed effects method where slopes are fixed and the intercepts are allowed to vary. The unrestricted specification for the ARDL system of equations for t = 1, 2, ....T, time periods and t = 1, 2, ....N countries for the dependent variable t = 1, 2, ....N

$$y_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{ij} y_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \gamma'_{ij} x_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (5)

Where  $x_{i,t-j}$  is the (k x 1) vector of explanatory variables for group i and  $u_i$  and represents fixed effect. The model can be re-parameterized as a VECM system:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \theta_i (y_{i,t-1} - \beta_i x_{i,t-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{ij} \Delta y_{i,t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \gamma_{ij}^{'} \Delta x_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (6)

Where the  $\beta_i$  are the long-run parameters and  $\theta_I$  are the equilibrium (or error)-correction parameters. The PMG restriction is that the elements of  $\beta_I$  are common across countries:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \theta_i (y_{i,t-1} - \beta' x_{i,t-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{ij} \Delta y_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \gamma'_{ij} \Delta x_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(7)

The group-specific short-run coefficients and the common long-run coefficients are computed by the pooled maximum likelihood estimation.

### 4.3.2 Mean Group

Mean group is the least restrictive procedure and allows for heterogeneity of all the parameters (imposes no cross-country restriction). It derives the long-run parameters for the panel from an average of the long-run parameters from autoregressive distribution lag (ARDL) models for individual countries. The MG estimator estimates separate regressions for each country and computing averages of the country-specific coefficients (see for example Evans, 1997; Lee *et al.*, 1997), which provide consistent estimates of the long-run coefficients. For example if the ARDL is the following:

$$Y_{it} = a_i + \gamma_i Y_{i,t-1} + \beta_i X_{it} + u_{it}$$
 (8)

For country *i*, where i = 1, 2, ...N. The long-run parameter  $\theta_i$  for country *i* is:

$$\theta_i = \frac{\beta_i}{1 - \gamma_i} \tag{9}$$

And the MG estimators for the whole panel will be given by:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i \qquad \qquad \hat{a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i$$
 (10)

The assumptions are quite strong – require that the group-specific parameters are distributed independently of the regressors, and the regressors are strictly exogenous. The MG estimates does not take account

of the fact that certain parameters may be the same across groups. The MG estimators are consistent and have asymptotic normal distributions for N and T sufficiently large.

### 4.3.3 Hausman Test

Recall that the PMG estimator constrains the long-run elasticities to be equal across all panels. This pooling across countries yields efficient and consistent estimates when the restrictions are true. Often, however, the hypothesis of slope homogeneity is rejected empirically. If the true model is heterogeneous, the PMG estimates are inconsistent; the MG estimates are consistent in either case. The test of difference in these models is performed with the familiar Hausman test (Hausman, 1978). With respect to the Hausman test, under the null hypothesis of homogeneity, if the parameters are in fact homogeneous, the PMG estimates are more efficient than MG. Given the results from the Hausman tests, it concludes to PMG as the true estimator for both the models.

#### 4.3.4 The Error Correction Term

Consider for example Model (1) of the study:

$$LNY_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LNS_{it} + \beta_2 LNN_{it} + \beta_3 LNX^{p_B}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

If the variables are I (1) and co-integrated, then the error term is I (0) for all i. A principal feature of co-integrated variables is their responsiveness to any deviation from long-run equilibrium. This feature implies an error correction model in which the short-run dynamics of the variables in the system are influenced by the deviation from equilibrium. Thus it is common to re-parameterize for example in our case model (1) into the error correction equation, by modifying the equation such that<sup>36</sup>:

$$\theta_{0t} = \frac{\mu_t}{1 - \lambda_t} \tag{11}$$

$$\theta_{1t} = \frac{\beta_{20t} + \beta_{22t}}{1 - \lambda_t} \tag{12}$$

$$\theta_{2t} = \frac{\beta_{20t} + \beta_{24t}}{1 - \lambda_t} \tag{13}$$

$$\theta_{2t} = \frac{\beta_{sot} + \beta_{sut}}{1 - \lambda_t} \tag{14}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Similar treatment is applicable in case of model 2 and model 3.

Where  $\theta_{1i}$ ,  $\theta_{2i}$  and  $\theta_{3i}$  are the long-run coefficients of  $LNX^{DB}_{it}$  and  $LNS_{it}$  and  $LNN_{it}$  for the *i*th cross-sectional unit. Then, we can rewrite the equation (1) as:

$$\Delta LNY_{it} = -(1 - \lambda_i) (LNY_{i,t-1} - \theta_{0i} - \theta_{1i} LNX^{DB}_{it} - \theta_{2i} LNS_{it} - \theta_{3i} LNN) + \beta_{11i}$$
  
$$\Delta LNX^{DB}_{it} + \beta_{21i} \Delta LNK_{it} + \beta_{31i} \Delta LNL_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(15)

We then substitute  $-(1-\lambda_i)$  with  $\Phi_i$ :

$$\Delta LNY_{it} = \Phi_i \left( LNY_{i,t-1} - \theta_{0i} - \theta_{1i} LNX^{DB}_{it} - \theta_{2i} LNS_{it} - \theta_{3i} LNN \right) + \beta_{11i}$$
  
$$\Delta LNX^{DB}_{it} + \beta_{21i} \Delta LNK_{it} + \beta_{31i} \Delta LNL_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(16)

Then, the mean of Mean Group estimate of error correction coefficient is:

$$\widehat{\phi} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{\phi}_i \tag{17}$$

With the variance:

$$\widehat{\Delta}_{\widehat{\phi}} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\widehat{\phi}_i - \widehat{\phi})^2 \qquad (18)$$

The error-correction speed of adjustment parameter,  $\Phi_{i}$ , and the long-run coefficient,  $\theta_{Ii}$ ,  $\theta_{2i}$  and  $\theta_{3i}$  are of primary interest, as in relation to Model 1. With the inclusion of  $\theta_{0i}$ , a non-zero mean of the co-integrating relationship is allowed. The parameter  $\Phi_{i}$  is the error-correcting speed of adjustment term. If  $\Phi_{i} = 0$ , then there would be no evidence for a long-run relationship. Similar would be the case if the coefficient turns out to be insignificant. Of particular importance are the vector  $\theta_{Ii}$ ,  $\theta_{2i}$  and  $\theta_{3i}$ , which contain the long-run relationships between the variables. Table 4.3 presents the results for PMG estimates, giving the long-run coefficients as well as the average speed of adjustment for the whole sample.

INDEPENDENT MODEL 1 MODEL 2 MODEL 1 MODEL 2

Table 4.3: PMG & MG ESTIMATES WITH 'LNY' AS DEPENDENT VARIABLE

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	MODEL 1 PMG	MODEL 2 PMG	MODEL 1 MG	MODEL 2 MG
$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{DB}}oldsymbol{ heta}oldsymbol{i_1}$	0.066**		0.061*	
$LNX^{SM}\theta i_1$		1.709*		1.801**
LNK $\theta i_2$	0.522**	1.411*	0.657**	1.500*
LNN θi <sub>3</sub>	-0.356*	-0.098*	-0.212**	-0.081*
LNDTM $\theta i_4$		0.672**		0.539**

Average speed of Adjustment $\Phi_i$	-0.180*	-0.281*	-0.179**	-0.266*
Constant	0.609	0.883	0.777	0.663
Hausman test (P, values)	0.757	0.900		
No of countries	18	18	18	18
Number of observations	360	355	360	355

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 1% level and

Table 4.4<sup>37</sup>

	% CHANGE IN REAL GDP GROWTH FOR WHOLE SAMPLE	% CHANGE IN REAL GDP GROWTH FOR LOWER PVT DEBT TO MARKETCAP RATIO (after bifurcation) SAMPLE1	% CHANGE IN REAL GDP GROWTH FOR HIGHER PVT DEBT TO MARKETCAP RATIO (after bifurcation) SAMPLE2
MEAN	5.333534	5.791072	5.126552
STDEV	2.368992	2.204501	2.914857
COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION	44.4169	38.0672	56.8581
T-VALUES FOR SAMPLE 1 & SAMPLE 2		2.042464 For mean's difference	

### 4.4 Discussion of Results

From the above findings it can be observed that in both the models, the study found a long-term relationship of the specified variables with

Critical value of 't\*' at  $\alpha = 5\%$  for the two populations was calculated as follows:  $\frac{r_1 s_1^* / n_1 + r_2 s_2^* / n_2}{s_1^2 / n_1 + s_2^* / n_2} = 1.99$ 

<sup>\*\*, \*\*\*</sup> Significant at the level of 5% and 10%

Out of 18 countries, 11 were lower than the average sample ratio of 'DM' and rest were with a higher ratio than average. T-value calculated as  $t = \frac{1}{100} = 2.04$ . The

economic growth. However, as per the PMG estimates, decided by the Husaman test, these relationships varied. As additional support, table 4.2 also provides the MG estimates to make the results more robust. Judging from the long-term coefficients in the PMG and MG for a sample of 18 Islāmic countries, it is shown that in case of model 1, the debt dynamics although show a positive impact on the growth of the economy, however, this influence is a lot less viz-a-viz the stock market dynamics in model 2.

As can be seen, the long-term contribution of stock market to economic growth is much stronger in both cases of PMG and MG with coefficients of 1.709 and 1.801. The influence on the contribution of labour and capital in both models also varies. Debt financing has a lower impact on growth, detrimentally affecting the productivity of capital as well as labour. This can be observed by a weak coefficient for both the capital and labour contribution to the economic productivity in the long run. In contrast, the influence on capital productivity in model 2 is much more pronounced and effect of population growth is less negative; suggesting that in risk sharing finance, the two factors of production are utilized much better to the benefits of the economic growth. To the argument that debt financing through direct bank lending may influence equity performance, the results clearly show that the expected debt effect as measured by coefficient of 'DM' although positively significant, but lower than 1. A coefficient of 1 or above would have sufficed the claims of any meaningful debt influence in the stock market performance<sup>38</sup>.

The findings are in line with the assertion made particularly in the first few sections of the study. In, as much as, a nation has significant debt burden, the need to service its debt i.e. the interest payments, will affect how the labour and capital will be employed in the production function. More specifically, if the gains of the productivity increases are transferred to creditors—foreign or domestic, little incentive will be left to increase the productivity of capital or labour (Hameed et al., 2008; Qureshi and Ali, 2010 and Haider and Ali, 2012). It implies that the need to service the debt will determine the manner in which labour and capital are exploited in the production process. From the above results, this exploitation is clearly on the depressing trend. As a result, with an increase in debt, the investment and productivity are hampered in the economy; dampening economic growth. Looking at the average ECT coefficient given as ' $\Phi_i$ ',

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Had that been the case, where the coefficient of 'DM' exceeded 1, it can still be asserted that risk sharing based financing proxied by stock market, is having a better influence on the utilization of factors of production and hence economic productivity/growth.

the speed of adjustment for model I is -0.180 for PMG and -0.179 in MG which means that if there is any shock to the system, it will be a lengthy progress for a system to get back to the long-term equilibrium relation; taking a minimum of 5 years and more.

However, for model 2, measuring the effects of risk sharing financing, the ECT coefficient ' $\Phi_i$ ' is much higher. The speed of adjustment is -0.281 and -0.266; suggesting that a risk sharing/equity based financing is much more resilient and stable to economic shocks with the adjustments back to long-term equilibrium relations taking place in nearly 3.5 years. It can be further argued that given a pure Islāmic financial system with no interest bearing debt avenues, it is expected that the stock markets will contribute to economic growth more effectively and efficiently since any influence via debt financing will be minimum if not completely absent.

The assertion can be empirically verified by the findings of table 4.4. Based on the average 'DM' ratio for the whole sample, the sample of the given countries was bifurcated into two groups: (i) countries which had lower DM ratio than the sample average and (ii) countries which accounted for a higher DM ratio than sample average. The Mean (measuring growth) and Standard Deviations (measuring volatility in that growth) of each group's real GDP growth was assessed. As can be seen from table 4.4, for the group of countries which have a less influence of debt in stock market, not only have a higher GDP growth, but the growth is more stable as well. Moreover, the growth and stability are also higher when seen in relation to similar estimates for the whole sample.

All in all, the above results are a further assertion of the analytical and theoretical claims made in the earlier sections, opposing a debt based financing regime and proposing risk sharing finance for fostering a more prosperous and stable economic growth. The findings also lend support to the claims made by Cowen and Tabarrok (2011) that given the right kind of institutional structure (in this case risk sharing based financing), economies can grow steadily and more efficiently—potentially serving the notion of conditional convergence hypotheses. Moreover, the results could also signify the contention that a growing economy, driven by the rate of return to the real sector, will always tend to show positive returns and there would be less chances for the returns to become negative. Among the dynamics that would assure such an outcome will be the feedback loop from an ensuing productivity of the economy. It can thus be claimed that findings of the study suffice clearly as to why the Qur'an ordains the societies to render their efforts to risk sharing as opposed to ribā based debt financing.

## 5. Conclusions

The aim of the study in hand was to argue that the present interest-based debt financing regime is inherently unstable and crisis prone. This was shown by referring to the findings of both the earlier as well as the current intellectual pedigree. All contend that debt and leveraging are the main sources of instability in the current system. These features are the prime result of the existence of an ex-ante predetermined rate of return in the form of 'interest'; turning debts into unmanageable and unsustainable super cycles. The system breeds a financial society more on risk transfer and shifting than on risk sharing- a favoured reason for globalization. Moreover, such attributes of the system have given rise to an increased financialization of the global economy; decoupling the real and the financial sectors while amplifying both the overt and covert risks in the economy. Consequently, the system is obeying an increasingly non-linear behavior, evidencing a clear application of the Qur'anic concept of 'vamhaq' given in verse 2:276. It has made the system harder to understand, predict and control, translating into an increasing sense of uncertainty regarding the survival of the system.

It was hence argued that Islāmic finance, based on its tenets of sharing risks and no ribā, can serve as an alternative to the interest-based debt financing. The study has empirically supported the assertions of better growth and stability aspects of risk sharing financing regime. Moreover, theoretical research has also shown that a non-interest-based financial system promotes financial stability (Mirakhor, 1990). Islāmic finance prohibits interest-based debt contracts and therefore interest-based credit. Investors share in the risk of economic activities; they select most efficient and profitable projects, and share in profits. Return to investment is based on real capital productivity. It would be expected that in this system, the private sector would have significant potential for investment and growth. Because of one-to-one mapping of the real and financial sectors, Islāmic finance would be significantly simpler than the interest-based financing system. Since growth in finance has to reflect growth in the real sector only, it is not likely that there would be a decoupling of finance from real sector activities in order for the financialization phenomenon to occur. Besides, as interest-based contracts are prohibited, debt cannot build up: thus debt overhangs symptomatic of interest-based financing are avoided.

The other main sources of the stability in a risk sharing regime are the operational characteristics that remove major sources of volatility and instability. Among these characteristics are the following:

- Transparency, trust and faithfulness to terms and conditions of contracts; Say's law applies all the time; (e.g., investment arises from real purchasing power and not from fictive credit; for instance, consumers spend from earned income and not from consumer loans or government transfers; similarly, enterprises invest genuine saving).
- The real values of assets and liabilities would be equal at all points in time. The prospect of instantaneous equilibrium between the asset side of the banking system driven mainly by the real sector of the economy and the liability side means that there must necessarily be a close and direct relationship between investment and deposit yields. Due to the close relationship between finance and the real sector activities, the rate of return to the latter determines that of the former rather than the reverse.
- A coordinated asset/liability maturity and risk matching structure;
- Asset/liability value matching the value of both sides of the balance sheet move simultaneously and in the same direction in response to changes in asset prices; and
- ➤ Limitations on credit expansion and leverage, naturally arising from the need for credit growth that is tied closely to the expected rate of growth of the real economy.

One of the most vital arguments put forward in favor of globalization was that of improved risk sharing that would result from intensified human interaction across the world. On theoretical ground, this would mean expecting much greater degree of risk sharing between and among economies – resulting from greater freedom of movement of resources, and hence, providing a major source of consumption smoothing in the world economy. These developments were expected to lead to progress towards market completion, which means increasing the number of marketable securities to meet a large number of contingencies - a condition of optimal risk sharing posited in Arrow's (1971) conception. Or, at least, progress could have been expected towards the design and use of Arrow's idea of having securities with pay-offs contingent on the performance of the underlying asset, for example, equity-based securities with close links to the real sector of the economy (Mirakhor, 2011a). Theoretical research has demonstrated sizeable potential welfare benefits of risk sharing<sup>39</sup>. However, empirical studies have shown only marginal gains in risk sharing from globalization. For example, study by Kim et.al. (2005) has shown that even in the fast growing East Asia-10 countries risk sharing has not been as significant as would have been expected.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See, for example, Van Wincoop (1999); Kim et. al. (2005); Lee and Shin (2008).

It appears that the contribution so far of the present configuration of Islāmic finance industry to the growth of real sector has fallen well short of expectations. Perhaps the main reason has been the fact that the practitioners and financial engineers of this new asset class – growing within the conventional financial system – had to design instruments that resembled those prevalent in the host system without violating the "no-ribā" sufficient condition. This meant creating instruments with tenuous relationship with the real sector to weaken the risk of Islāmic financial transactions borne by market players. Moreover, the instruments have been benchmarked to the LIBOR or closely related reference rates to make them acceptable to large international banks and investors. Hence, the Islāmic finance industry has focused on strategy of asset concentration in short-term maturities and real estate in the medium-to-long-term maturities, thus replicating the vulnerabilities of the conventional system.

Aside from these problems, there is a risk of path dependency: the risk that the industry will continue following the same pattern of behavior because it has proven profitable thus far. This growing complacency and doing 'business as usual', runs the risk that path dependency will render deviations from the true practice of Islāmic finance irreversible. This would mean continued development of debt-like instruments that are low risk but are devoid of risk-sharing elements – a vitally important element of Islāmic finance. After all, finance is well aware of the theory of "spanning" – where one basic asset can span into an infinite number of derivative instruments. This theory served as the basis for the rapid development of debt-based derivative markets worldwide which eventually undermined the stability of global finance.

In general, the industry players in their defence argue that "our clients" are not interested in placing their funds at risk, thus discouraging us from risk sharing<sup>40</sup>. Apparently, this argument is unaware that, conceptually, there is a difference between risk taking and risk sharing. The former is prior to the latter. The risk of a given project in the real sector is determined in that sector; and one bears such risks before entering into the financial sector to seek financing. On the other hand, it is at the point of financing where the decision regarding the modality of financing – whether it will be in the form of risk sharing, transfer or shifting –is made. The nature and magnitude of risk taken remains the same and immutable as it enters the financial sector at the stage of funds seeking.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Such arguments are a norm and were also pervasive in the 3<sup>rd</sup> International conference on Islāmic Business, Islamabad, Pakistan (2012) as well as in a conference of security commission Malaysia (2011) held with the theme of Risk Sharing in finance.

Industry players display a further dimension of inertia in resisting risk sharing. This relates to the conceptual "framing" of Islāmic finance. Framing refers to the fact that people's response to risky situation depends on how they form their perception of a given situation and that depends on how an event is formulated. Framing is closely related to the idea of "prospect" which refers to perception of gains or losses attached to decisions. The way prospects are framed can lead to inconsistent behavior; if the same objective outcome is framed differently in terms of gains and losses, people respond differently. Since losses, are given greater weight than corresponding gains, people are in general loss averse. If the outcome is framed either as a gain or loss, people prefer to choose gain. For example, the prospects of 10 percent loss and 90 percent gain can be framed focusing either on the probability of the loss or the expectation of the gain. Hence, it can be argued that a major reason for the inertia in the industry for resistance to progress towards risk sharing is due to the inability of the stakeholders and practitioners to first understand and then frame risk sharing prepositions correctly and effectively.

While the disappointment with the present performance of the Islāmic finance industry is understandable, it should be noted that the industry has a short history in which it nevertheless has demonstrated remarkable growth. Perhaps it is this performance that has triggered evidence of growing interest in non-interest rate based finance. Indications are that emerging markets and developing economies are actively considering adoption of instruments of Islāmic finance. Governments, particularly in Malaysia, have been major sources of support for the growth of Islāmic finance. Few are leveraging the "first-mover" status of Malaysia in education, manpower training and instrument innovation in Islāmic finance to introduce their own brand of risk-sharing method of financing. If these efforts succeed, perhaps even the benefits of emerging multiple growth centres in the global economy will be further enhanced with greater stability and resilience in supporting financial transactions through risk sharing (Mirakhor, 2011c). As suggested by Mirakhor (2012), in the last three decades important strides have been made in applying the rule of no ribā based contracts to create an Islāmic system. Much more effort needs to focus on the risk sharing aspect of the prescribed rules of the Qur'ān as specified in the verse 275 of chapter 2. This potential move is referred to as moving Islāmic finance from version 1.0 which only focused on the no-ribā part of the verse to now Islāmic finance version 2.0; rendered to apply risk sharing in finance-the essence of Islāmic finance.

## References/Bibliography

- Allais, Maurice (1999). "La CriseMondialeD'Aujourd'hui". Clement Juglar.
- Andrew Sheng (2009). "From Asian to Global Financial Crisis", Cambridge University Press.
- Arrow, K.J. (1968), "Optimal capital policy with irreversible investment," in J.N. Wolfe (ed.), Value, Capital and Growth, Essays in Honour of Sir John Hicks, Edinburg University Press.
- Arrow, K.J. (1971). "Essays on the Theory or Risk-bearing". Chicago: Markam.
- Askari, H, Mirakhor, A and Iqbal, Z. (2009). "New Issues in Islamic Finance and Economics", Singapore: John Wiley and Sons (Asia).
- ———. (2010). "Globalization and Islamic Finance", Singapore: John Wiley and Sons (Asia).
- ——. (2010). "Stability of Islamic Finance". Singapore: John Wiley.
- Askari, H, Iqbal, Z, Krichene, N. Mirakhor, A. (2012). "Risk Sharing in Finance: The Islamic Finance Alternative." Singapore: John Wiley and Sons (Asia).
- Bachelier, Louis, (1900). "The Theory of Speculation", Gauthier-Villars
- Bartholomeu, D.J. (2008), "God, Chance and Purpose: can God have it both ways?" Cambridge University Press.
- Belouafi. A. (2012). "Islamic Finance and Financial Stability: A Review of the Theoretical Literature". Working paper, presented at University of Paris 1, Sorbonne, Islamic Economics Institute King Abdulaziz University.
- Benes. J and Kumhof. M (2012). "The Chicago Plan Revisited". IMF Working Paper. WP/12/02.
- Buiter, W. (2009). "Islamic finance principles to restore policy effectiveness", blogs.ft.com, July 22, 2012.
- Bogle. J.C. "The Clash of the Cultures: Investment vs. Speculation". John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Chapra, M.U. (2007). "The Case Against Interest: Is It Compelling?": Thunderbird International Business Review Vol. 49 (2), March April 2007, pp. 161-186.
- Checherita and Rother, (2010). "The impact of high and growing Government debt on economic growth An empirical investigation For the euro area". ECB Working Paper series No 1237.
- Cowen, T. and Tabarrok, A. (2011). "Modern Principles of Economics". Second Edition. Worth Publishers. NY, U.S.
- Cunningham, R. T. (1993). "The Effects of Debt Burden on Economic Growth of Heavily Indebted Countries". Journal of Economic Development. Vol. 18. No. 1.

- Dickey, D. A., Jansen, D. W. and Thornton, D. C. (1991). "A Primer on Co-integration with an Application to Money and Income", Review Federal Reserve Bank of ST. Louis, Vol 73, pp. 58-78.
- Ellsberg.D (1961). "Risk, Ambiguity and Savage Axioms". The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 75, No.4. (Nov., 1961), pp. 643-669.
- Epstein, G., (2002), "Financialization, Rentier Interests, and Central Bank Policy."
- Epstein, G. (2006). "Financialization and the World Economy." New York: Edward Elgar.
- Erbas, N and A. Mirakhor (2007). "The Equity Premium Puzzle, Ambiguity Aversion and Institutional Quality." IMF working paper (Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund).
- Fisher, I. (1936). "The Debt-Deflation Theory of Great Depressions", Econometrica, Vol.1 No. 4, pp.337-357.
- Haider and Ali (2012). "Impact of debt burden on economic growth: a case of Pakistan". ArthPrabhand: A Journal of Economics and Management. Vol.1 Issue 6.
- ———. (2010a). "Islamic Finance: Structure objective mismatch and its consequences". INCEIF. Online at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/21726/ MPRA Paper No. 21726, posted 05. April 2010.
- ———. (2010b). "Dubai financial crisis: causes, bailout and after a case study," MPRA Paper 26397, University Library of Munich, Germany.
- ———. (2011a). "Scarcity, self-interest and maximization from Islamic angle," MPRA Paper 31631, University Library of Munich, Germany, revised 17 Jun 2011.
- ———. (2011b). "*Money creation and control from Islamic perspective,"* MPRA Paper 39796, University Library of Munich, Germany, revised 21 Dec 2011.
- Hameed et. al, (2008). "External Debt and its Impact on Economic and Business Growth in Pakistan". International Research Journal of Finance and Economics.
- Hausman, J. (1978). "Specification Tests for Econometrics". Econometrica. Vol. 40, pp. 1251-71.
- Hayek, F. (1944). "The Road to Serfdom". Edited by Bruce Caldwell. Routledge Press. U.K.
- Hellwig, M. (1998). "Banks, Markets, and Allocation on Risks in an Economy". Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics (JITE), vol.154, no.1, pp.328-345.
- Hook, S. L. (2004). "Banks Stock Markets and Economic Performance: Panel Data Evidence from Developing Countries". Labuan Bulletin of International Business & Finance 2(1), 2004, pp. 9-29.

- Illgner, A, Platt, J, and Taylor, B. (2010). "Black Swans, Crisis Economics, and Globalization: A Critical Appraisal". New voices in public policies. Vol V. George Mason University.
- Imbset. al, (2005), "*The Overhang Hangover*". World Bank policy Research Working Paper No. 3673.
- IMF. (2008). "Systemic Banking Crises: A New Database". Working paper No. WP/08/224. Prepared by Luc Laeven and Fabian Valencia.
- IMF Fiscal Monitor (2011). Addressing Fiscal Challenges to Reduce Economic Risks. IMF, Washington D.C. http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fm/2011/02/pdf/fm1102.pdf.
- IMF (2012). "Too Much Finance". IMF Working Paper WP/12/161. Prepared by Jean-Louis Arcand, Enrico Berkes and Ugo Panizza.
- Imran and Tanzeela (2012), "Public Debt and Economic Growth in Pakistan: A Time Series Analysis from 1972 to 2010". Academic Research International. Vol. 2, No. 1.
- Im, K.S., Pesaran, M.H. and Shin, Y. (2003). "Testing for Unit Roots in heterogeneous Panels". Journal of Economics. 115, pp. 53-74.
- Janeway, W.H. (2012). "Doing Capitalism in the Innovation Economy Markets, Speculation and the State". Cambridge University Press.
- Johansen, S. (1988). "Statistical analysis of co-integration vectors". Journal of Economics Dynamic, Vol. 12, pp. 231-54.
- Kappler, M. (2004). "Determination of Potential Growth Using Panel Techniques". Discussion Paper No. 04-69. Center of European Economic Research.
- Keen, S., (2011). "Finance and Economic Breakdown: Modeling Minsky's Financial Instability Hypothesis", Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, Vol. 32, pp. 607-635.
- Keen, S. (2012/2014). "Modelling Financial Instability". Available at http://www.debtdeflation.com/blogs/wpcontent/uploads/2014/02/Keen20 14ModelingFinancialInstability. pdf.
- Kennedy, C and Thriwall, A.P. (1972). "Technical Progress: A survey". Economic Journal. Vol. 82, No. 325, pp, 11-72.
- Keynes, J.M., 1936, "The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money," A Harbinger Book (1965), New York.
- Kim, D. and J. Sheen (2004). "Risk Sharing within Australia and with New Zealand." presented in Australian Macroeconomic Workshop, University House, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.
- Kim, s., et.al.(2005). "Regional versus Global Risk-Sharing in East Asia".
- Kim, s. et.al. (2006). "Financial Integration and Consumption Risk Sharing in East Asia." Japan and the World Economy, 18(2), 143-57.

- Knight, Frank H. (1921). "Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit". Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Kumhof and Ranciere, (2010). "*Inequality, Leverage and Crises*". IMF Working Paper. WP/10/268.
- Kyle, A. S. (1985). ""Continuous Auctions and Insider Trading,". Econometrica, Econometric Society, vol. 53 Vol. 6, pp. 1315-35, November
- Lee, J.W. and K. Shin (2008). "Welfare Implication of International Financial Integration". Asian Development working paper series on Regional Economic Integration No. 20.
- Loganathan, Nanthakumar and Subramaniam, T., (2010). "Dynamic Cointegration Link between Energy Consumption and Economic Performance: Empirical Evidence from Malaysia". International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance, Vol.1 (3).
- Maddala, G.S., Wu, S.W. (1999). "Comparative study of unit root tests with panel data and a new simple test". Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 61.
- Mankiw, Romer, G.D and Weil. D. (1992) "A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth." Quarterly Journal of Economics 107, pp. 407-37.
- Metzler, L. A., (1951) "Wealth, Saving, and the Rate of Interest," The Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 93-116.
- Mauldin. J and Tepper. J (2011). "End Game: "The End of Debt Super Cycle and How It Changes Every Thing". Published by John Wiley & Sons. New Jersey, U.S.
- Meade, J. E. (1958), "Is the National Debt a Burden?" Oxford Economic Papers, New Series, Vol. 10(2), pp. 163-183.
- Meadows, Donella, H. (2000). "*Thinking in Systems*" White River Junction, Vermont: Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Marx, K. (1867). "Das Kapital". Vol I. Progress Publishers, Moscow, USSR.
- Marx, K. (1893). "Das Capital", Vol. III, London, Penguin Books, 1991.
- Minsky, H. (1986). "Stabilizing an Unstable Economy, A Twentieth Century Fund report", Yale University Press, New Haven and London.
- Minsky, H. (1992). "The Financial Instability Hypothesis". The Jerome Levy Economics Institute of bard College, Working Paper, No. 74.
- Mirakhor, A. (1990/93). "Equilibrium in a Non-Interest Open Economy" International Monetary Fund, working Paper, published in Islamic Economics: Journal of King Abdulaziz University, Volume 5, 1993.
- ———. (2002). "Hopes for the Future of Islamic Finance". Published in 'New Horizons', Issue no: 121 July-August 2002. IIBI. London, U.K.
- ——. (2007). "Islamic Finance and Globalization: A convergence?" Journal of Islamic Economics, Banking and Finance. Vol. 3, No. 2.

- Mirakhor, A. and N. Krichene (2008). "The Recent Crisis: Lessons for Islamic Finance," Islamic Financial Services Board, 2nd Public Lecture on Financial Policy and Stability, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- ——. (2009). "Resilience and stability of Islamic finance". European Economic Review.
- Mirakhor, A. and I. S. Hamid (2009). "Islam and Development". New York: Global Scholarly Publications.
- Mirakhor, A. (2009). "Islamic Economics and Finance: An Institutional Perspective", IIUM Journal of Economics and Management, vol. 17, no. 1.
- (2010). "Whither Islamic Finance? Risk Sharing in an Age of Crises".
   Paper presented at the Inaugural Securities Commission Malaysia (SC) Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies (OCIS) Roundtable. "Developing a Scientific Methodology on Shariah Governance for Positioning Islamic Finance Globally" March 15, 2010.
- ———.(2011a). "IslamicFinance in the Multi polar World". Presented at the Asian Institute Finance Distinguished Speaker Series. Kuala Lumpur, 13 September 2011.
- ———. (2011b). "Epistemological Foundation of Finance: Islamic and Conventional." Keynote address presented at the Foundations of Islamic Finance Conference Series, Kuala Lumpur, March 8-10, 2011.
- ———.(2011c). "Risk Sharing and Public Policy". Paper presented in the 5<sup>th</sup> International Islamic capital Market Forum. Security Commission of Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur, 10<sup>th</sup> November 2011.
- Mirakhor, A, Krichene, N and Shaukat, M. (2012a). "Unsustainability of The Interest Rate-Based Debt Financing". ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance Vol.4 issue 2. December 2012.
- Mirakhor, A and Shaukat, M. (2012b). "Survival of The Interest Rate Based Debt Financing Regime". Journal of Economy and Money Vol.6 No.2 December. 2012.
- ———. (2012c). "Regime Uncertainty: Interest Rate Based Debt Financing System". The Journal of Islamic Business Vol.2, Issue 2 December, 2012.
- Mirakhor, A. (2012). "Shariah Compliant Macro-Economic Policy". Paper presented at SisanaKijang, Bank Negara Malaysia. November, 2012.
- Mirakhor, A and Haneef, R. (2012). "Islamic Finance Industry: Can it achieve its *Ideals?*" Presented at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Regional Forum Conference of the International Bar Association (IBA). November, 2012.
- Mirakhor, A and Bacha, I. (2012), "Islamic Capital Market and Development": Paper presented at 22<sup>nd</sup> Annual Conference on Monetary and Exchange Rate Policies: Banking Supervision and Prudential Policies. May, 2012

- ——. (2013). "The Islamic Capital Market: A Comparative Approach". INCEIF and Wiley Finance.
- Mirakhor, A and Shaukat, M. (2013). "Islamic Finance in A Multipolar World: Traversing The Complexities of A New World". The Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance. Vol 31, June 2013, Karachi, Pakistan.
- Mishkin. F.S. (2001). "The Economics of Money, Banking, and Financial Markets". 6th Edition, New York: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Mohsin, S. K. (1986), "Islamic Interest-Free Banking," IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 33.
- Mohsin S. K and Mirakhor, A. (1989). "The Financial System and Monetary Policy in an Islamic Economy", Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Islamic Economics, Vol. 1, 1989.
- ———. (1994). "Monetary Management in an Islamic Economy". Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Islamic Economics., Vol. 6, pp. 3-21.
- Murizah, O.S., Jaffer, A and Ebrahim, S. (2011). "The Inhibition of Usury (Riba An-Nasi'ah) and the Economic Underdevelopment of the Muslim World". Bank Negara Malaysia and Bangor University.
- Nadeem and Ali, (2010). "Public Debt Burden and Economic Growth: Evidence from Pakistan". International Research Journal of Finance and Economics.
- Pagano, M. (1993). "Financial markets and growth: An overview", European Economic Review, 37, pp. 613-622.
- Palley, T.I. (2007). "Financialization: What It Is and Why It Matters". Levy Economics Institute. Working Paper No. 525.
- Paulos, J. A. (2003). "A Mathematician Plays the Stock Market". Basic Book Publication. NY, U.S
- Paul Krugman, (1992) "The Self-Organizing Economy", Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1992. This book is also a delightful introduction to the application of complexity to economics.
- Pedroni, P. (1997). "Panel co-integration, asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests, with an application to the PPP hypothesis: new Results". Indiana University, Working Paper in Economics, December.
- Pedroni, P. (1999). "Critical Values for Co-integration Tests in Heterogeneous Panels with Multiple Regressors". Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. Vol. 61, pp. 653–678.
- Pesaran, H.M., and (1995). "Estimating long-run relationships from dynamic heterogeneous panels". Journal of Econometrics, 68, pp. 79-113.
- Pesaran, H.M., Im, K.S, and Shin, Y., (1999). "Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels". Journal of Econometrics 115, pp. 53–74.

- Philips and Perron, (1991). "Testing Consistency with Varying Sampling Frequency". Econometric Theory. Vol. 7, pp. 341-68.
- Presbitero, Andrea F., (2005), "The Debt Growth Nexsus: A Dynamic Panel Data Estimation". Department of Economics Working Paper No.243, Universita Politecnica delle Marche.
- Ramsey, Frank P. (1928). "A mathematical theory of saving". Economic Journal, vol. 38, no. 152, pp. 543–559.
- Rajan, R.G. (2005). "Has Financial Development Made the World Risker?". IMF Publications.
- Reinhart, C., V. Reinhart and K. Rogoff (2012). "Debt Overhangs: Past and Present", NBER Working Paper No.w18015. URL: http://www.org/papers/w18015.
- Reinhart, C., Rogoff, K., and Savastano, M,. (2003) *Debt Intolerance:* NBER Working Paper 9908.
- Rogoff, K. (2011). "Global Imbalances without Tears." Project syndicate. 2011-03-01.
- Reinhart, C., Reinhart, V. (2010a). After the Fall: NBER Working Paper 16334.
- -----.(2010b). "Growth in a Time of Debt" NBER working paper no.15639.
- Reinhart, C. and K. Rogoff (2009). "This Time Is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly". Princeton University Press.
- ———. (2008). *Banking Crises: an Equal Opportunity Menace:* NBER Working Paper 14587
- Reinhart, C., (2008). *Eight Hundred Years of Financial Folly:* Online at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/11864/
- ———. (2008). *The economic and Fiscal consequences of financial crises:* Online at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/13025/
- ———. (2008). This Time is Different Chart book: Country Histories On Debt, Default, And Financial Crises: NBER Working Paper 15815.
- Sadr, K. (1999) "The Impact of Asymmetric Information and Monitoring upon the Choice of Modes of Finance". Center for Economic Studies, Agricultural Bank of Iran, Tehran, 1999.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1991). "The theory of economic development". Cambridge, Mass. Harvard University Press.
- Shaukat, M, Mirakhor, A and Krichene, N. (2013). "Fragility of the Interest rate based Debt Financing". Paper presented at the IFFS, 2013, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Shaukat, M. (2013). "Humpty Dumpty Capitalism: The Fragility of the Interest rate based Debt System". INCEIF Research Bulletin. Available at http://www.inceif.org/category/research-bulletin/bulletin-volume1/

- \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, (2014). "Financing Economic Growth with Stability from Islamic Perspective". Forth Coming.
- Stiglitz, J.E. (1989), *Financial Markets and Development*, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, vol. 5, no. 4.
- Stiglitz, J.E. (2010)." Freefall: America, Free Markets, and the Sinking of the World Economy", W.W. Norton & Company.
- Taleb, N. N. (2007/2010). "The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable". New York: Random House and Penguin.
- Taleb, N.N and Blyth, M. (2011). "The Black Swan of Cairo: How Suppressing Volatility Makes the World Less Predictable and More Dangerous". Foreign Affairs. Vol. 90, No. 3.
- Taleb, N.N. (2012a). "ANTIFRAGILE: Things that gain from disorder". Random House, Inc., New York.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_, (2012b). "How to Prevent Other Financial Crises". SAIS special review Vol. 32, No. 1. The John Hopkins University Press.
- Turner, A. (2012). "Monetary and Financial Stability: Lessons from the Crisis and from classic economics texts". Speech at South African Reserve Bank.
- Udovitch, A.L., (1967). "At the Origins of the Western Commenda: Islam, Israel, Byzantium?" pp. 198-207.
- Udovitch, A.L., (1970). "Credit and a Means of Investment in Medieval Islamic Trade." Journal of the American Oriental Society, vol. 87, no. 3, July-September, pp. 260-64.
- Ul-Haque, No. and Mirakhor, A., (1999). "The Design of Instruments for Government Finance in an Islamic Economy." Islamic Economic Studies, vol. 6, no. 2.
- United Nations Report on "World Economic Situation and Prospects, 2012".
- Thornton, H. (1802). "An Inquiry into the Nature and Effects of the Paper Credit of Great Britain". Edited by F. R. A. v. Hayek. New York: Rinehart, 1939.
- United Nations (2009). *The World Financial and Economic Crisis and its Impact on Development*. Report of the journal Secretary. Presented at Conference of the world Financial Economic crisis and its Impacts.
- Van Wincoop., E., (1994). "Welfare Gains from International Risk Sharing," Journal of Monetary Economics, vol. 34, October, pp. 175-200.
- VanWincoop, E., (1999). "How big are Potential Welfare Gains from International Risk Sharing?" Journal of International Economics, vol. 47, February, pp. 109-235.
- World Bank (2005). "Public Debt and Its Determinants in Market Access Countries: Result from 15 country case study".
- World Bank Report on "Global Economic Prospects", 2012.