# Regime Uncertainty: Interest Rate Based Debt Financing System<sup>1</sup>

Abbas Mirakhor and Mughees Shaukat<sup>2</sup>

#### **Abstract**

Evidence has been mounting (over the centuries) that the interest based debt financing regime is under ever increasing distress. All of the earlier crises whatever label they carried - exchange rate crisis or banking crisis have been debt crises in essence. At the present, empirical research suggests that the debt-to-GDP ratio of the richest members of the G-20 threatens to touch 120% mark by 2014. Moreover there is also evidence that out of securities worth \$200 trillion in the global economy, no less than three-fourth represent interest based debt. It is difficult to see how this massive debt volume can be validated by the underlying productive capacity of the global economy. This picture becomes more alarming when it is realized that the growth of the global economy is anemic at best while the interest rate on debt is sure to exceed the rate of growth of global GDP for the foreseeable future. This paper argues that the survival of the interest based debt regime is becoming less tenable, as is the process of financialization that has accompanied the growth of global finance over the last four decades. It further argues that Islamic finance, with its core characteristic of risk sharing, may well be a viable alternative to the present interest based debt financing regime.

**Keywords**: Regime Uncertainty, Ambiguity, Complexity, Black Swans, Debt stress, Islamic finance, Risk-Sharing.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper draws heavily from some recent writings and presentations on risk sharing at different forums: in particular, recent presentations at the Asian Institute Finance Distinguished Speaker Series, the Khazanah MegaTrends Forum, Kuala Lumpur in September, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbas Mirakhor is the first holder of the chair of Islamic finance at INCEIF, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia and Mughees Shaukat is currently a PhD Researcher & Assistant Researcher in Islamic banking and finance at INCEIF. Dr. Mirakhor is also an Honorary Lecturer in the London School of Islamic Banking & Finance. U.K. He has published a number of articles in the field of Islamic banking & finance. He also sits on the Editorial Board of The GLOBAL ISLAMIC FINANCE MAGAZINE. U.K. and is a member of the International Reviewer Panel of the Emrald journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research. He is also frequent Columnist at the Global Islamic Finance Magazine and an academic contributor on various other platforms.

#### 1. Introduction

At a time when the global economy is suffering from a crisis of confidence, structural imbalances, and subdued growth prospects, a growing sense of uncertainty prevailing world over is palpable. Thousands of people have taken to the streets of US cities, and thousands of others in Europe, demanding a fair distribution system. In the eurozone, financial crises have forced out two governments and concerns and uncertainty about the institutional integrity of the eurozone – key to the architecture of modern Europe – continues to mount. This uncertainty extends to the stability and sustainability of the international economic and financial regime. These developments signal the presence and growing sense of a "regime uncertainty"; uncertainty regarding the benefits and costs as well as the sustainability of the regime of interest rate-based debt finance. The search is on for a paradigm shift towards a less volatile and more resilient system.

Before exploring the possibility of such a paradigm or regime shift, it is important to know what is meant by *regime uncertainty*. For this, an understanding of risk, uncertainty and ambiguity is helpful; the subject of following section. Before focusing on the concept of regime uncertainty, section three will highlight further the present debt overhang that is creating debilitating fears of contagion and recurrence of full-fledged global crisis. These fears are exacerbated by the complexity of the conventional finance. Section three will discuss the concept of complexity and the need for a shift towards a different financing regime. Explanation of the notion of regime uncertainty will comprise section four. The paper argues that the new regime will need to be based on the idea of risk sharing; the essence of Islamic finance. The last sections will focus on risk sharing and how such a system can create stability and resilience in the global financial system, thus, reduce the frequency and severity of crises that have plagued the global economy.

# 2. Risk, Uncertainty and Ambiguity

Frank Knight explained that, at times, decisions are made based on available probability distribution of expected events. This is decision making under risk. Unlike risk, however, uncertainty describes a situation where a known probability distribution is not available but it is still possible to make decisions with some subjective estimates of probability of outcomes of actions or decisions (Knight, 1921). In the 1960s this view was modified to cover circumstances under which human cognitive ability and information availability are so constrained that even subjective

assessment of outcomes was not possible. Ambiguity arises under such circumstances (Ellsberg, 1961; Erbas and Mirakhor, 2007) where the intensity of "ignorance" can create paralysis in the decision making.

### 3. Complexity of the Interest Rate Based Debt Finance

To understand the dynamics that have generated the present uncertainty about the interest rate based financing regime, it would be helpful to note the connection between the growing complexity within the global financial system and heightened sensitivity to events that produce "black swan" events. A simple system is quite predictable because of the simplicity of its operational rules. For example, old cars had simple starting operations: placing keys in the ignition to start the engine, a simple and predictable system. Complicated systems contain subsets of simple systems but are not reducible to them. Their complicated nature is often related not only to the scale but also to issues of coordination of specialized expertise. Complicated systems are also predictable (Holland, 1995).

Complex systems contain both complicated and simple subsidiary parts, but are not reducible to the either (Goodwin, 1994) since they too have special requirements, including an understanding of unique local conditions (Stacey, 1992). Interdependency and interconnectedness of all the heterogeneous elements that build up such a system where each part is doing its own thing, are operated, ruled and governed by feedback loops. In such a system a small marginal change is capable of creating large impact on the global behavior of the system (Holland, 1995) with the added attribute of non-linearity (Lorenz, 1993). Unavoidably, complex systems innately carry with them large elements of uncertainty and ambiguity (Wheatley, 1992). In early 1940s, a British mathematician, Alan Turin, was perhaps the first modern scientist to formulate complexity. The hallmark of his contribution was a paper he wrote about the growth of biological system in which he put forward the idea of "morphogenesis" (Turing, 1952). He showed that a biological system described by two simple equations with feedback loops among the variables was capable of behaving in totally unpredictable, complex patterned behavior. A decade later, an American meteorologist, Edward Lorenz, had developed models with feedback loops to increase the accuracy of weather forecast (Lorenz, 1963). His models showed two things: unpredictability of weather systems and the significantly large impact of small, marginal changes in local individual element's behavior on the global behavior of the system. This last point was covered in a talk

he gave in 1961 titled "Does the flap of a butterfly wing in Brazil set off a tornado in Texas"<sup>3</sup>. This talk made famous "The Butterfly Effect".

The third prominent intellect that made significant contribution to the notion of complexity is Benoit Mandelbrot who is also the inventor of 'Fractal Geometry' (Mandelbrot, 1982). He too showed how a system described by a simple equation (rule) with feedback interaction, is capable of producing unpredictable, infinitely complex patterns. While patterns were easy to generate via computers, describing the patterned behavior of such system mathematically was exceedingly difficult. Whereas Alan Turin had used two simple equations with feedback interaction to describe the growth of biological system, Mandelbrot used only one such equation that generated similar unpredictable, infinitely complex patterns.

Mandelbrot also made an equally significant contribution to finance where he argued that all the theories in finance were wrong because they relied on Gaussian (normal) probability distributions and the Brownian motion, both of which assume regularities. He pointed out that nearly all economic and financial variables, particularly stock prices and commodity prices, behaved irregularly. Their behavior, Mandelbort argued, was better described by 'Fractal Geometry and mathematics' than by Gaussian distribution and Brownian motion (Mandelbrot and Hudson, 2004). Since finance theories were wrong so would be their predictions; the recent financial crises has vindicated his claims. Finally, Nassim Nicholas Taleb argues that there are events with very low probability of occurrence but with significantly large impact; quite reminiscent of the 'Butterfly effect'. These events he termed as 'Black Swans' due to their rare appearance<sup>4</sup>. Recently, the global system has experienced events that would have been thought of as low probability events not long ago. These include, inter alia, the down grading of U.S from its 'AAA' rating, the looming collapse of the much hailed Euro zone, the effort by Switzerland to convince the world that Swiss franc is not a safe haven, the Brazilian suggestion of bailout of advanced economy by emerging markets and China's contemplation of buying Italy's debt. The list can go on.

Looming in the back ground of the present uncertainties in the global economy there is a potential event, termed as "the mother of all black swans", the effects of which may be chaotic global economy: contagion-

<sup>4</sup> Taleb, Nassim Nicholas (2007/2010). *The Black Swan: "The Impact of the Highly Improbable"*. New York: Random House and Penguin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Edward Lorenz's talk for the 139th meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science in 1961.

riddled events of sovereign default. It can be stated, by way of summary that: (i) in a complex system, elements are independent, adaptive and interactive; there is a feedback process at work; (ii) such systems are characterized by an unpredictable, infinitely complex patterned behavior; (iii) small, marginal changes have significantly large impact on such system's behavior; and (iv) There is a limitation to the cognitive ability of human mind to understand, describe, predict and control such system's behavior.

The global financial crisis of 2007/2008 and its continuing adverse economic and social consequence, as well as the failure of significant policy actions to elicit the desired response, seem to provide evidence that the global financial system displays the characteristics of a complex system. Added to the shock of occurrence of "fat tail" events, increased poverty and worsening distribution of income and wealth in individual and collective economies have intensified regime uncertainty. Such doubts about the sustainability of a system based on the interest rate debt financing had been expressed as early as 1930s by the likes of John Maynard Keynes. Focusing on the interest rate mechanism, Keynes argued that market capitalism, left to it-self, would create two major problems which, if not addressed, would cause system failure. These are (i) poor income and wealth distribution and (ii) the fact that this system is incapable of creating full employment. A major cause of these problems, Keynes asserted, was the interest rate mechanism which constituted "the villain of piece" (Mirakhor and Krichene, 2009). Keynes solution was the "euthanasia of rentier" by socializing investment through which financial capital would be provided for investment without the intermediation of the rent seeking class of the money lenders. The failure of socialism in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, however, has made this solution unpopular.

A fact that can be discerned from the historical analyses of nearly all financial crises is the potential destabilizing role of the interest rate mechanism in the debt-growth dynamics of the economies. In the 1920s a young mathematician/philosopher, Frank Ramsey, had published a paper about analyzing the interaction between interest rate and growth rate (Ramsey, 1928), a work that was ignored by economists until the 1960s. He used the interaction of the rate of population growth, the growth of interest rate and the growth of economy to deduce the following: if the rate of economic growth exceeded both the other rates i.e. the rate of interest and the rate of population growth, the economy would grow. A steady state was when all the three rates were in equilibrium; however where ever the interest rate growth surpassed the growth of the economy

and the growth of population, economic activity would begin a downward spiral. This seems to be the debt dynamics at work in the global economy presently. Question arises as to whether there is any alternative to the present dominant global finance system. Perhaps a more practical alternative would be to step back from targeting the interest rate mechanism and focus on the incentive structure that has rendered the interest rate based debt financing such a destabilizing force in the global system. This can be accomplished by reorienting the system from relying on risk transfer and risk shifting to risk sharing.

### 4. The Regime Uncertainty

The idea of "regime uncertainty" (Robert Higgs, 1997) argues that a major cause of the intensity and duration of the Great Depression was the depth of the uncertainty (ambiguity) surrounding the policy regime of the time and its economic and financial consequences. This type of uncertainty can arise from many sources, ranging from simple tax-rate increases to the imposition of new kinds of taxes to outright confiscation of private property. It can also arise from various sorts of regulation, for instance, of securities markets, labour markets, and products markets. The security of private property rights rests not so much on the letter of the law as on the character of the government that enforces, or threatens, presumptive rights. Henry Morgenthau, the Treasury Secretary in President Roosevelt administration in the 1930s encapsulated the wide ranging uncertainty as follows:

... "Uncertainty rules the tax situation, the labour situation, the monetary situation, and practically every legal condition under which industry must operate. Are taxes to go higher, lower or stay where they are? We don't know. Is labour to be union or non-union? . . . Are we to have inflation or deflation, more government spending or less? . . . Are new restrictions to be placed on capital, new limits on profits? . . . It is impossible to even guess at the answers". (qtd. in Higgs, Depression, War, and Cold War, p. 16)

The most serious problem facing the global economy today is the situation of debt overhang and, more importantly, the risk of sovereign default. The latter can not only prolong the adverse impact of the recent recession but could also trigger another major crisis in the global financial system, through contagion.

The 2007/2008 global financial crisis has been studied and analyzed extensively by now and a variety of causes have been suggested. By far the most expansive study has been by Reinhart and Rogoff (2009) which

contends that all financial crises, whether currency or banking crisis, are at root debt crises, including the Asian Crisis in the late 90's. Another paper by the same authors<sup>5</sup> studied the period of 200 years for 44 countries for which data was available. An important insight of this study is that the growth of the economy is adversely affected as the ratio of debt-to-GDP goes beyond 30 percent and nears 100 percent, eventually creating a situation where the GDP is only able to service the interest payments. The IMF reached similar conclusions in its "post-mortem" of the Asian financial crisis in the late 90s and recommended a safe level of government debt-to-GDP of no more than 25 percent. They further advised avoidance of debt-creating flows; an advice that was not taken by the advanced economies. Rogoff (2011) suggests that there are now \$200 trillion of financial papers in the global economy, of which nearly 75 percent or US\$150 trillion is in interest-bearing debt<sup>6</sup>. The global GDP in 2011 is estimated optimistically at US\$65 trillion. The question is how the underlying real global economy, growing at rates below the growth of global debt, will be able to validate this debt?

According to recent IMF Fiscal Monitor, the average debt per working age person in advance economies will increase from \$27,600 in 2007 to \$62,000 in 2016 and from \$1,500 to \$2,200 in emerging markets. In 2009, the IMF estimated that gross general government debt in high-income advanced G-20 economies is expected to grow from 78 percent of their GDP in 2007 to 120 percent in 2014, an increase of 40 percent over a 7 year period. These countries suffer from high unemployment, fiscal instability, low capacity utilization and high debt and leverage. The stress and strain on the international trade and financial system and its associated arrangements did not suddenly become apparent after the 2007/2008 global crisis; in the 1990s Japan, Russia, Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico were sending distress signals<sup>7</sup>. Neither the signals nor the lessons of these crises made any noteworthy impact on the way the world economic system and its policies were being steered. Andrew Sheng (2009) suggests that the crisis would have been evaded had the system learned the lessons of the Asian crisis:

"Whilst the emerging economies learned the lessons of 1997/98 crises, put their macroeconomic policy house in order, reduced their exposure to sudden stops, and accumulated reserves, most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Reinhart, C. and K. Rogoff (2010). "Growth in a Time of Debt." NBER working paper no. 15639.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Rogoff, K. (2011). "Global Imbalances without Tears." Project syndicate. 2011-03-01. <sup>7</sup> Mirakhor, A. (2002).

advanced economies went in the opposite direction. They reduced their savings, increased consumption, ran fiscal deficits and accumulated large debts. Observers suggest that Ireland, Portugal and Greece are only the tip of the axiomatic iceberg and that there is a heightened risk of the emergence of an even more serious global debt crisis"<sup>8</sup>.

The lessons had been distilled most effectively by the IMF, from the "post-mortem" analyses of the Asian, Brazilian, Argentinean, Russian, Mexican crises of the late 90s and early 2000s. Reforms and remedies were suggested but were only implemented, most strongly, in case of emerging and developing countries. The advanced countries perceived their economies immune to the forces of instability. Growing vulnerabilities, however, built up the pressures that proved dramatically the folly of such perceptions. Uncertainties, ambiguities and complexities governing the present architecture and configuration of policies, seem to exacerbate the perception that the present financing regime is unable to mitigate effectively the risks to the global economy. Hence, there is a palpable anxiety and growing concern leading to the search for an alternative to the present interest-based debt financing regime.

# 5. Risk Sharing<sup>9</sup>: A Rule-Based System

Every Society in general faces two kinds of risks. The first is systematic and the other idiosyncratic. The former refers to risks that are macroeconomic in nature and are posed by overall economic settings. These risks are un-diversifiable hence uninsurable. Only effective macroeconomic policies and international economic and financial coordination can mitigate such risks. Unsystematic or idiosyncratic risk, on the other hand, relates to risks that are individuals or firms specific, emanating from risk of shocks to a firm or an individual's income. Such risks are diversifiable, therefore, insurable. High correlation between consumption and income creates vulnerabilities to income shocks. However these can be mitigated through risk-sharing arrangements that lessen reliance on only one source of income. Therefore, risk sharing reduces the correlation between income and consumption that, in turn, leads to consumption smoothing (Mirakhor, 2011).

<sup>8</sup> Andrew Sheng (2009)." From Asian to Global Financial Crisis", Cambridge University Press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For a more detailed vision of the Islamic alternative financial system see, H. Askari, et al., (2012 "Risk Sharing in Finance: the Islamic alternative", John Wiley& Sons.

Risk sharing - the essence of Islamic finance - serves one of the most important desiderata of Islam i.e. the unity of mankind. Islam is a rulesbased system in which a network of prescribed rules governs the socioeconomic-political life of the society. Compliance with these rules renders the society a union of mutual support by requiring humans to share the risks of life (Mirakhor, 2011). The epistemological roots of risk sharing as an organizing principle of Islamic financial system is discernible from the verse 275 of chapter 2 of the Qur'an. This verse, in part, decrees that all economic and financial transactions are conducted via contracts of exchange (al-bai') and not through interest-based debt contracts (al-riba). Since in the Verse the contract of exchange appears first and "no-riba" thereafter, it can be argued that requiring contracts to be based on exchange constitutes a necessary condition and "no-riba" the sufficient condition of existence of an Islamic financial system. Together, these conditions constitute the organizing principle of that system. necessary condition (al-bai') and sufficient condition (no riba) must be met for a contract to be considered Islamic (Mirakhor, 2011). Classical 'Arabic Lexicons of the Qur'an define contracts of exchange (al-bai') as contracts involving exchange of property rights claims in which there are expectations of gains and probability of losses<sup>10</sup> (Mirakhor, 2010; Mirakhor, 2011). By entering into contracts of exchange, parties improve their welfare by exchanging the risks of economic undertakings, thus allowing division of labour and specialization.

The understanding of *al-bai*', the exchange of one set of property rights claim for another, as the necessary and "*no-riba*" as the sufficient condition has important implications. Exchange requires the freedom to contract for the parties involved and this implies freedom to produce, which then calls for well protected property rights to allow and facilitate production. For exchange to take place, there is a need for markets and then for rules that govern behaviour of market participants. Rules need enforcement and regulation to keep the flow of information smooth thus reducing transaction costs. These rules of market behaviour include: trust, faithfulness to the terms and conditions of contracts, good governance, honesty and transparency in social dealings, rules of property rights and market behaviour, contract enforcement, distribution and re-distribution. It can be argued that full compliance with these rules reduces the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See also, for example, Al-Tahquiq Fi Kalamat Al-Qur'an Al-Karim; Lisan Al-'Arab; Mufradat Alfaz Al Qur'an, 'Arabic Lexicon, among others. These sources define *al-bai*' as "*mubādalah al-māli bi al-māl*". In English this can be rendered as "the exchange of one set of property rights claim for another."

informational problems and transaction costs thus rendering the system efficient (Askari, et al., 2010).

Risk and uncertainty are undeniable facts of life. As was discussed earlier, uncertainty stems from not only the lack of information but also from ignorance of knowing the response and behaviour of others under such conditions. Question arises as to why risk and uncertainty exist. This question becomes more acute for those who believe in the supreme Creator who creates all things. Since it is believed that existence of risk and uncertainty is a source of difficulty for humans, a Creator-centric question also arises: why create risk and uncertainty for humans? Bartholoemu, (2008) argues that "a plausible argument for the necessity of risk is that it serves as an important ingredient in the recipe of full human development. It provides the fertility and diversity of experience to develop our skills and personalities" (p.230). The Qur'an, on the other hand, provides a more compelling explanation: humans are subjected to tests throughout their lives to allow them a sense of the degree to which they, individually and collectively, are rule compliant. Without risk and uncertainty, testing would not be possible (Mirakhor, 2009). To ease the intensity of anxiety in dealing with tests and, therefore, reduce uncertainty and demand on humans' cognitive ability, the Qur'an prescribes rules of behaviour. Principle among these rules is that of risk sharing ordained by the Qur'an.

It can be argued that a financial system based on risk sharing would be more stable than the conventional dominant system which is based on risk transfer and, more and more, on risk shifting. The sources of this stability are the operational characteristics that remove major sources of volatility and instability. Among these characteristics are the following:

- > Transparency, trust and faithfulness to terms and conditions of contracts:
- ➤ Close relationship between finance and the real sector activities such that the rate of return to the latter determines that of the former;
- Asset/liability risk matching;
- Coordinated asset/liability maturity structure;
- Asset/liability value matching such that the value of both sides of the balance sheet move simultaneously and in the same direction in response to changes in asset prices; and

➤ Limitations on credit expansion and leverage, naturally arising from the need for credit growth that is tied closely to the expected rate of growth of the real economy.

It has been shown that a system based on these operational characteristics, would be stable and capable of producing employment, income and output growth (Askari, et. al., 2010). The full range of instruments of such a financial system would be expected to run the gamut of the spectrum of instruments from short-term, liquid, and low-risk financing of trade contracts to long-term financing of real sector investment. The lower end of the spectrum would provide financing of sales and purchases of products already produced to allow greater production, thus, greater employment of resources. At the higher end, it would provide financing for planned production in the future; all financing taking place through risk-sharing contracts (Mirakhor, 2010). In such a system there would be no opportunity for pure financial transactions, those that have no relation to the real sector of the economy (Mirakhor, 2011).

# 6. Global Risk Sharing

One of the most vital arguments put forward in favour of globalization was that of improved risk sharing that would result from intensified human interaction across the world. On theoretical ground, this would mean expecting much greater degree of risk sharing between and among economies - resulting from greater freedom of movement of resources, and hence, providing as a major source of consumption smoothing in the world economy. These developments were expected to lead to progress toward market completion – a condition of optimal risk sharing posited in Arrow's conception (Arrow, 1971). Or, at least, progress could have been expected towards the design and use of Arrow Securities, with pay offs contingent on the performance of the underlying asset, for example, equity-based securities with close links to the real sector of the economy (Mirakhor, 2011).

Research has demonstrated sizeable potential welfare benefits of risk sharing<sup>11</sup>. However, empirical studies have shown only marginal gains in risk sharing from globalization. For example, a study by Kim, et.al. (2005) showed that even in the fast growing East Asia10 countries, the size of the coefficient of risk-sharing was very small and some were negative (Indonesia and Malaysia)<sup>12</sup>. Analyses of the pre-crises data shows a fast

<sup>12</sup> Kim, s., et.al. (2005). "Regional versus Global Risk-Sharing in East Asia".

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See for example, van Wincoop, 1999; Kim, S., et.al. 2005; Lee Imbs, 2006 and Shin, 2008.

growing, debt-creating process in the global financial system with increasingly tenuous links with the growth of the real economy. Increased debt-creating flows, a characteristic of financial globalization in the run up of 2007/2008 crises, does not improve risk-sharing, as they either transfer or shift risk. More importantly, risk-shifting or risk-transfer financial transactions led global finance toward decoupling from real sector activities with the growth of the former outpacing that of the latter by double-digit multiples, intensifying the risk of "sudden stops" (Mirakhor, 2011).

The contribution of Islamic finance to the growth of the real sector has so far fallen well short of expectations. Perhaps the main reason has been the fact that, growing within the conventional finance framework, the practitioners and financial engineers of this new asset class had to design instruments that resembled those prevalent in the host system without violating the "no-riba" sufficient condition. This meant creating instrument with tenuous relationship to the real sector to weaken the risk perception of Islamic finance held by market players. Hence, Islamic financial industry focused on portfolio behaviour with strategy of asset concentration in short-term maturities, and real estate in the medium-tolong-term maturities, thus creating vulnerabilities. Aside from these problems, there is a risk of path dependency: the risk that the industry will continue following the same pattern of behaviour because it has proven profitable thus far. This growing complacency and doing "business as usual", runs the risk that path dependency will render deviations from a truly Islamic finance irreversible. This would mean continued development of debt-like instruments that are low risk and are devoid of risk-sharing elements. After all, finance is well aware of the theory of "spanning"-where one basic asset can span into an infinite number of derivative instruments. This theory served as the basis for the rapid development of debt-based derivative markets world-wide which eventually undermined the stability of global finance.

In their defence, the industry players argue that "our clients" are not interested in placing their funds at risk thus discourage us from risk sharing. Apparently, this argument is unaware that, conceptually, there is a difference between risk taking and risk sharing. The former is prior to the latter. The risk of a given project in the real sector is determined in that sector before entering the financial sector seeking finance. It is at the point of financing where the decision regarding the modality of financing — risks sharing, transfer or shifting —is made. The nature and magnitude of risk taken remains the same and immutable as it enters the financial sector

seeking funds. Industry players display a further dimension of inertia in resisting risk sharing. This relates to the conceptual "framing" of Islamic finance. Framing refers to the fact that people's response to risky situation depends on how they form their perception of a given situation and that depends on how an event is formulated. People react differently to the same situation when it is framed in alternative formulation. Framing is closely related to the idea of "prospect" which refers to perception of gains or losses attached to decisions. The way prospects are framed can lead to inconsistent behaviour; if the same objective outcome is framed differently in terms of gains and losses, people respond differently. Since losses, are given greater weight than corresponding gains, people are in general loss averse. If the outcome is framed either as a gain or loss, people prefer to choose gain. For example, the prospects of 10 percent loss and 90 percent gain can be framed focusing either on the probability of the loss or the expectation of the gain. It can be argued that a major reason for the inertia in the industry for resistance to progress toward risk sharing is due to the inability of the stake holders and practitioners to first understand and then frame risk sharing prepositions correctly and effectively.

While the disappointments with the present performance of Islamic finance industry is understandable, it should be noted that the industry has a short history in which it nevertheless has demonstrated remarkable growth. Perhaps it is this performance that has triggered evidence of growing interest in non-interest rate based finance. Indications are that emerging markets and developing economies are actively considering adoption of instruments of Islamic finance. Few are leveraging the "firstmover" status of Malaysia in education, manpower training and instrument innovation in Islamic finance to introduce their own brand of risk-sharing method of financing. If these efforts succeed, perhaps even the benefits of emerging multiple growth centers in the global economy will be further enhanced with greater stability and resilience in the supporting financial transactions through risk sharing (Mirakhor, 2011). Governments, particularly in Malaysia, have been a major source of support for the growth of Islamic finance. The same support can extend risk sharing to government finance. Instead of issuing a debt based bond to raise funding, governments can use equity participation securities for such funding. These instruments can be issued in low denominations and traded in the secondary markets. This would allow ordinary consumers and investors to participate in the process of owning a share of their government's activities. These instruments with the incentive for wide participation of the population could well enhance social solidarity and, perhaps, even an incentive structure for strengthened governance. Such alternative methods of financing government expenditures would be viable particularly in the Asian economies with high saving ratios.

Risk-sharing could also be an effective alternative to the debt-based ways and means of helping European countries facing sovereign debt crises. For example, Eurozone could issue long-term securities with pay offs based on the GDP performance in these countries. Similarly, China could buy Italian GDP-based securities rather than the consideration reportedly being given to purchase of Italian debt. This type of risk-sharing instruments has been proposed by analysts for some time now. Shiller (2003), the first to suggest this type of "macro-market" instruments, believes that the benefits of risk sharing are substantial but have yet not materialized due to the limited availability of appropriate instruments. The present regime uncertainty has created a valuable opportunity for risk sharing based finance as a viable alternative to the interest rate based debt financing.

#### **Bibliography**

- 1. Andrew Sheng (2009). "From Asian to Global Financial Crisis", Cambridge University Press.
- 2. Arrow, K.J. (1971). "Essays on the Theory or Risk-bearing". Chicago: Markam.
- 3. Askari, H. et al. (2010), "Stability of Islamic Finance". Singapore: John Wiley & Sons.
- 4. Bartholomeu, D.J. (2008), "God, Chance and Purpose: can God have it both ways?", Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Ellsberg. D (1961). "Risk, Ambiguity and Savage Axioms". The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 75, No.4. (Nov., 1961), pp. 643-669.
- 6. ——. (1993), "The Essence of Chaos". Seattle, Washington: University of Washington Press, 1993.
- 7. Erbus, N and A. Mirakhor (2007) "The Equity Premium Puzzle, Ambiguity Aversion and Institutional Quality." IMF working paper (Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund).
- 8. Ramsey, Frank P. (1928). "A mathematical theory of saving". Economic Journal, vol. 38, no. 152, pages 543–559.

- 9. Goodwin, Brian. (1994). "How the Leopard Changed Its Spots: The Evolution of Complexity". New York: Touchstone, 1994.
- 10. Holland, John H (1995). "Hidden Order: How Adaptation Builds Complexity". Reading, Mass.: Helix Books, 1995.
- 11. Kim, s., et.al. (2005) "Regional versus Global Risk-Sharing in East Asia".
- 12. Knight, Frank H. (1921), "Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit". Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- 13. Lorenz, Edward N. (1963), "Deterministic non-periodic flow". Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, vol. 20, pages 130–141.
- 14. Mandelbrot, Benoit B. (1982). "The Fractal and Geometry of Nature", W.H. Freeman and company, San Francisco.
- 15. Mandelbrot, Benoit B.; Richard Hudson (2004). "The (Mis) behaviour of Markets: A fractal view of Risk, Ruin & Reward". Perseus books group. New York.
- 16. Mirakhor. A (2002). "Hopes for the Future of Islamic Finance". Published in 'New Horizons', Issue no: 121 July-August 2002. IIBI. London, U.K.
- 17. Mirakhor, A. and N. Krichene (2009). "The Recent Crisis: Lessons for Islamic Finance," Islamic Financial Services Board, 2nd Public Lecture on Financial Policy and Stability, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- 18. Mirakhor, A. (2009) "Islamic Economics and Finance: An Institutional Perspective", IIUM Journal of Economics and Management, vol. 17, no. 1.
- 19. Mirakhor. A. (2010) "Whither Islamic Finance? Risk Sharing in An Age of Crises". Paper presented at the Inaugural Securities Commission Malaysia (SC) Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies (OCIS) Roundtable. "Developing a Scientific Methodology on Sharī'ah Governance for Positioning Islamic Finance Globally" March 15, 2010.
- 20. ——. (2011a) "Islamic Finance in the Multi polar World". Presented at the Asian Institute Finance, Distinguished Speaker Series. Kuala Lumpur, 13 September 2011.
- 21. ——. (2011b). "Epistemological Foundation of Finance: Islamic and Conventional." Keynote address prsented at the Foundations of Islamic Finance Conference Series, Kuala Lumpur, March 8-10, 2011.

- 22. ——. (2011c). "Risk Sharing and Public Policy". Paper presented in the 5<sup>th</sup> International Islamic capital Market Forum. Security Commission of Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur, 10<sup>th</sup> November 2011.
- 23. Higgs, Robert (1997) "Regime Uncertainty Why the Great Depression Lasted So Long and Why Prosperity Resumed after the War". The Independent Review, Vol, I, No. 4, Spring 1997.
- 24. ——. (1998). "Regime Uncertainty in 1937 and 2008", The Beacon, blog of the The Independent Institute, Dec 6, 2008.
- 25. ——. (2006). "Depression, War, and Cold War". Oxford University Press, 2006. Oxford, United Kingdom.
- 26.—. (2009). "Regime Uncertainty in the 1930s: A New Deal Insider's Account", The Beacon, blog of the Independent Institute, June 29, 2009.
- 27. Reinhart, C. and K. Rogoff (2009). "This Time Is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly". Princeton University Press.
- 28. ——. (2010). "Growth in a Time of Debt." NBER working paper no. 15639.
- 29. Rogoff, K. (2011). "Global Imbalances without Tears." Project syndicate. 2011-03-01.
- 30. Shiller, T. (2003). "The New Financial Order". Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 31. Taleb, Nassim Nicholas (2007/2010). *The Black Swan: "The Impact of the Highly Improbable"*. New York: Random House and Penguin.
- 32. Turing. A.M (1952), "The Chemical Basis of Morphogenesis". Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, Vol. 237, No. 641. (Aug. 14, 1952), pp. 37-72.
- 33. Wheatley, Margaret J (1992). "Leadership and the New Science: Learning About Organization from an Orderly Universe". San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 1992.